

Respondent Name: Lloyd Gee

(Submitted through online Snap survey)

Document	Policy/Para number	Legally compliant?	Sound?	Complies with DtC?	Please give further comments.	Please set out suggested changes	Do you wish to attend the oral examination?
Site Allocations	2.163 SA39	No	No	No	The consultation is a sham. Even doing this form is not at all user friendly and uses language that is not at all resident friendly. As someone who worked in local authority for 22 years, I am struggling already. The consultation has failed to meet the Statement of Community Involvement on several counts. For example • By targeting consultation to make sure that relevant stakeholders are involved. • By ensuring the consultation methods used are appropriate in engaging affected parties. Also the stated process shows stakeholder involvement at an much earlier stage. There has been a major omission of stakeholder involvement with the proposal for the Professional Development Centre - none of the residents in Belmont Avenue that back on to the site have been informed. It is by chance	The only way to make it compliant is to restart the consultation ensuring that you do your duties to involve stakeholders.	No

Respondent Name: Lloyd Gee

(Submitted through online Snap survey)

					that I saw a small notice tied to the railings outside the building. Apparently you carried out a public consultation on the four draft Local Plan documents in 2015. If this contained plans for the site adjacent to our properties, again we were totally missed out. This is unacceptable.		
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--