

Schedule of Minor Modifications: 18th May 2016

No Plan Specified			
Modification Reference	Policy / Para / Page	Description of Change	Reason for Change
MM1	Whole Local Plan	<i>Throughout documents: Replace “Consequentially” with “Consequently”</i>	Typographical error. LBH amendment

Late Submissions

No Plan Specified: None			
--------------------------------	--	--	--

Policies Map			
Modification Reference	Description of Change	Reason for Change	
MM2	<i>Amend White Heart Lane to read White Hart Lane</i>	Typographical error. LBH amendment	
MM3	<i>Amend the plan period on the Proposals Map cover to read 2011-26</i>	To correct publishing error. LBH amendment	
MM4	<i>Amend the cartography given to the Local Employment Areas – Employment Land (EL) and Regeneration Area (RA) so that these are more clearly distinguishable from Locally Significant Industrial Sites.</i>	For clarity. LBH amendment	
MM5	<i>Mark up the Proposals Map to show the locations of rail, tube and overground stations using the appropriate logo.</i>	For clarity. LBH amendment	
MM6	<i>Amend the Proposals Map to include the designation reference numbers from schedules in the appendices of the Site Allocations DPD</i>	For clarity. LBH amendment	
MM7	<i>Amend the boundary to Site Allocation NT5 and the North Tottenham Growth Area to exclude 21-35 Headcorn Road and 1-19 Peshurst Road</i>	To reflect changes agreed from consultation at Preferred Option that was not subsequently made at Pre-Submission. LBH amendment	
MM8	<i>Amend the town centre boundary for the Green Lanes District Centre to reinstate the</i>	To ensure the vitality of the District	

	<i>current adopted boundary as shown in the adopted 2013 Proposals Map.</i>	Centre is maintained in response to Representations by Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd and Montagu Evans obo LaSalle Investment Management
MM9	<i>Amend the Green Belt boundary at the northern portion of Site Allocation TH9: Hale Wharf to reflect the boundary within the adopted Haringey UDP Policies Map 2006 and the Haringey Proposals Map 2013.</i>	To correct publishing error. LBH amendment
MM10	<i>Amend the Proposals Map to show the SLOL boundary for Site Allocation SA42: Highgate Bowl as set out in the Preferred Option consultation document</i>	To correct publishing error. Made in response to Highgate Society and Savills on behalf of NHS Property Services
MM11	<i>Amend site boundary for Site Allocation TH12: Herbert Road to include the annex building on Herbert Road as detailed in Empyrean Development response to Reg 19 consultation. See site plan pg 4 of Empyrean Development representation.</i>	For accuracy and clarity, in response to Empyrean Developments representation.
MM12	<i>Amend the site allocation boundary for SA36: Finsbury Park Bowling Alley to include the secure cycle park facility, located on the Finsbury Park side of the current site allocation, within the red line boundary.</i>	To enable the achievement of the site requirement for SA36 regarding replacement and enhancement of the existing secure cycle parking facility. Made in response to CGMS obo Parkstock Ltd
MM13	<i>Remove Castle Yard from site allocation boundary for SA41: Highgate School.</i>	To correct updated landholder information – Castle Yard does not form part of the Highgate School's estate. Made in response to CBRE on behalf of Highgate School

Late Submissions

Policies Map		
Modification Reference	Description of Change	Reason for Change
MM14	<i>Amend the boundary of the Designated Employment Area within Site Allocation SA52: Pinkham Way to align with the boundary shown within the Site Allocations DPD for SA52:</i>	To exclude ecological corridor from the Designated Employment Area, which is

	<i>Pinkham Way</i>	not considered deliverable. Made in response to the representation of the Pinkham Way Alliance
--	--------------------	---

Alterations to Strategic Policies			
Modification Reference	Policy / Para / Page	Description of Change	Reason for Change
MM15	Alt5	<p><i>Amend Alt5 to read:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Haringey Housing Strategy (March 2009 2015 2016) 	Factual update. LBH amendment
MM16	Alt9	<p><i>Amend the paragraph to read as follows:</i></p> <p>“.. and proposed to be is designated a Housing Zone ...”</p>	Updated to reflect the current position. Made in response to Quod obo Muse Developments and the Canal and River Trust
MM17	3.1.31	<p><i>Amend the formatting to ensure the hyperlink to www.education.gov.uk is working</i></p>	Publishing issue. LBH amendment
MM18	Para 3.1.35	<p><i>Amend the third bullet point of paragraph 3.1.35 to read:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provision of appropriate retail and leisure uses to deliver a premier leisure destination in London; 	To clarify the Council’s aspiration for the area following the recent grant of the new planning permission for the Tottenham Hotspur stadium. LBH amendment
MM19	Alt51	<p><i>Delete Policy SP2(7) in its entirety:</i></p> <p>Schemes below the 10 unit threshold will be required to provide 20% affordable housing on site, based on habitable rooms, or provide financial contributions towards affordable housing provision;</p>	Responds to the Governments recent successful challenge to its application of a “ministerial statement’ affecting a policy change that LPAs should not be seeking affordable housing on sites of less than 10 units. LBH amendment
MM20	Alt56	<p><i>Amend the Alt56 to read:</i></p> <p>“... in the Haringey Urban Characterisation Study 2014 2015.”</p>	Correction to the publication date of the Haringey Urban Characterisation Study. Made in response to Quod on behalf of St William

MM21	3.2.30	<p>Amend the fifth bullet point, under ‘Key evidence and references’ to read:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Haringey’s Housing Strategy 2009-19, London Borough of Haringey 2009-2016 	Factual update. LBH amendment
MM22	Policy SP8	<p>Amend Policy SP8: Strategic Industrial Locations, to read as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tottenham Hale (Part SIL/RA); and Millmead and Ashley Road (Part SIL/RA); and Part of Central Leaside (Brantwood, North East Tottenham & Marsh Lane estates). 	Correction to bring into alignment with the findings of the Employment Land Review 2015. LBH amendment
MM23	Alt 110	<p>Amend Policy SP8 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Friern Barnet Sewage Works Pinkham Way Tottenham Hale (Part SIL/RA), N17 	Correction to bring into alignment with the findings of the Employment Land Review 2015. For consistency with the site name in the Site Allocations DPD. Made in response to the North London Waste Authority.
MM24	Figure 5.1 Employment Areas	<p>Amend Figure 5.1 to reflect the changes to the classifications to employment land designations as set out in the Employment Land Review 2015</p>	Correction to bring into alignment with the alternations and the findings of the Employment Land Review 2015. LBH amendment
MM25	5.1.12	<p>Amend the first sentence of the paragraph as follows:</p> <p>“... uses that fall within B1 (b), B1 (c), B2 or B8 uses,...”</p>	Grammatical correction. LBH amendment

Late Submissions

Alterations to Strategic Policies

MM26	Alt64	<p>Amend Alt64 to add a further paragraph, following the second paragraph, to read as follows:</p> <p>“The consideration of potential redevelopment options, for</p>	To ensure that as part of the process of designing and implementing options for estate renewal, that the potential heritage interest of each estate is fully
------	-------	--	--

		individual renewal estates, should have regard to the potential heritage interest of the estate (Historic England can assist with identify this) and to existing social and community facilities that support the existing community”.	identified, understood, and used (where recognised) in line with the principles of sustainable development. Made in response to Historic England
--	--	--	---

DM Policies			
Modification Reference	Policy / Para / Page	Description of Change	Reason for Change
MM27	Entire DPD	<i>Amend the formatting of subheadings in reasoned justification to the policies to ensure these are consistent throughout the document</i>	For clarity. LBH amendment
MM28	Entire DPD	<i>Replace “shop fronts” with “Shopfronts” throughout the document</i>	Grammatical correction - “Shopfront” and “shop front” used interchangeably throughout. LBH amendment
MM29	DM5	<i>Amend Policy DM5 Part A(c) as follows:</i> c. Be consistent with Have regard to the Council’s Tall Buildings and Views Supplementary Planning Document.”	To recognise that the SPD has not yet been adopted. Made in response to Rapleys on behalf of LaSalle Investment Management, Quod obo St. William, and Quod obo Muse Developments and the Canal and River Trust
MM30	DM5	<i>Amend Part B of the policy to read:</i> B Obstructions to the Locally Significant Views should be minimised and will be assessed by the Council on their level of impact on the views.	Grammatical correction. LBH amendment
MM31	Figure 2.1 & Appendix A pg 84 & Table 5 Site Allocations pg 162	<i>Figure 2.1 to be amended, including the Key to provide accurate number referencing.</i> <i>Consequential amendments are required to the Schedules of views in Appendix A (DM DPD) and Table 5 (Site Allocations) to be amended and updated to ensure the numbering is in line with</i>	To ensure accuracy and clarity. Made in response to concerns highlighted by CGMS obo Parkstock Ltd, APPCAAC and Quod obo St. William.

		<i>Figure 2.1.</i>	
MM32	DM6	Amend Policy DM6 Part C(c) as follows: c. <u>Be consistent with Have regard to</u> the Council’s Tall Buildings and Views Supplementary Planning Document.”	To recognise that the SPD has not yet been adopted. Made in response to Rapleys on behalf of LaSalle Investment Management, Quod obo St. William, and Quod obo Muse Developments and the Canal and River Trust
MM33	Figure 2.2	Amend Figure 2.2 to include two additional locations potentially suitable for tall buildings - Apex House and Finsbury Park – to accord with the SLR evidence base.	Technical correction to reflect the evidence published to accompany the Pre-Submission Local Plan. Made in response to CGMS obo Parkstock Ltd
MM34	Para 2.51	Amend the first sentence of the paragraph 2.51 to read: Shop fronts with poor quality materials, <u>bulky and crudely attached, fully</u> internal illuminated box fascias ...	For clarity. Made in response to Chris Thomas Ltd obo British Sign and Graphics Association
MM35	Para 2.53	Amend the first sentence of the paragraph 2.53 to read: Poorly designed or <u>brightly excessively bright</u> illuminated shop fascias ...	Technical amendment to make the policy more implementable. Made in response to Chris Thomas Ltd obo British Sign and Graphics Association
MM36	Para 2.58	Amend the second sentence to read: The level of detail provided in the statement should be proportionate to the <u>agreed</u> importance of the asset, having regard to its significance and potential impact on this. And in the last line of the paragraph insert ' <u>Area</u> ' between 'Conservation' and 'Advisory'	For clarity and factual correction. Made in response to Stroud Green CAAC and Highgate Society
MM37	DM9	Amend DM9 (D) as follows: “... provided they are compatible with and/or <u>compliment complement</u> the special characteristics ...”	Grammatical correction. LBH amendment
MM38	DM13	DM13 B (e) has a second (e) in the numbering which should be deleted.	Typographical error. LBH amendment

MM39	DM18	<p><i>Amend Part A of the Policy to read:</i></p> <p>Householder extensions to existing basements, or and the construction of new basements, <u>including</u> in existing dwellings...</p>	For clarity to ensure appropriate consideration for all basement development. LBH amendment
MM40	DM18	<p><i>Amend Policy DM18 Part A(b) as follows:</i></p> <p>b. Does not increase flood risk to the property and nearby properties from any source (<u>See Policy DM24</u>)</p>	Cross reference for clarity. Made in response to Highgate Society
MM41	DM20	<p><i>Amend Part G of Policy DM20 to read:</i></p> <p>...on the site, <u>in accordance with the open space standards set out in the Haringey Open Space and Biodiversity Study (2013)</u>, subject to viability.</p>	For clarity on implementation. LBH amendment
MM42	DM22	<p><i>Amend Policy DM22 Part B(b) as follows:</i></p> <p>b. All development that incorporates site-wide communal energy systems should optimise <u>examine</u> opportunities for extending such systems ...</p>	To accord with the wording of London Plan Policy 5.6. Made in response to Rapleys on behalf of LaSalle Investment Management
MM43	DM30	<p><i>Amend the Policy as follows:</i></p> <p>“..... that any impacts caused by the operation of the facility can be controlled to achieve levels that such that the facility will not have a significant adverse effect on human health and the environment <u>in line with regulatory requirements.</u>”</p>	To ensure clarity in expectations for policy implementation. Made in response to the North London Waste Authority.
MM44	DM38	<p><i>Amended Policy DM38 Part A(g) to read:</i></p> <p>g) <u>Be designed to</u> enable connection to ultra fast broadband.</p>	For clarity. Made in response to Rapleys on behalf of LaSalle Investment Management
MM45	DM46	<p><i>DM46A (a) has a second (a) in the numbering which should be deleted.</i></p>	Typographical error. LBH amendment
MM46	Para 6.59	<p><i>Amend paragraph 6.59 to read:</i></p>	To include reference to newly published evidence: Hot Food Takeaway Technical

		The Public Health Directorate has published a health evidence base, which, along with Hot Food Takeaway Shops: An Evidence Base Study (2015) to has informed preparation of Haringey's Local Plan.	Paper (Haringey 2016). Made in response to Steve Simms
MM47	Para 7.34	<i>Amend the reference in the first sentence to refer to Policy DM 55 and not DM56, and the last sentence to refer to Policy DM56(A) and not DM56(B).</i>	To ensure clarity and accurate referencing. LBH amendment.
MM48	Para 7.70	<i>Fourth line to be amended as follows: ... Table 1.7.1</i>	To ensure clarity and accurate referencing. LBH amendment.
MM49	Appendix A	<i>Second line to be amended as follows: ... Figure 2.3 2.1</i>	To ensure clarity and accurate referencing. LBH amendment.
MM50	Pg 82	<i>Paragraph numbering does not continue accurately. Amend to ensure correct numbering.</i>	Correction. LBH amendment.
MM51	Annex E	<i>Include in Annex E the "Open Space and Recreational Standards SPD"</i>	For clarity. LBH amendment

Late Submissions

DM Policies			
Modification Reference	Policy / Para / Page	Description of Change	Reason for Change
MM52	Para 2.16	<i>Amend last sentence in paragraph 2.16 to read: Transport for London's (TfL) Streetscape Guidance (2009) (2015) provides guidance for use on TfL roads the Transport for London Road Network (TLRN).</i>	Factual updates. Made in response to Transport for London
MM53	Para 2.35	<i>Amend Paragraph 2.35 to read: There are a number of views included in the Council's Conservation Area Appraisals and Management Plans that</i>	For clarity. Made in response to Historic England

		proposals should have regard to in order to positively respond to local character.	
MM54	Para 2.75	<p><i>Amend the second to last sentence of paragraph 2.75 to read:</i></p> <p>If it is suitably demonstrated that this is not possible, a programme of conservation will be required including satisfactory excavation and recording of remains on site along with arrangements for archiving, including publication and deposition in an appropriate designated museum.</p>	Additional guidance to clarify requirements for archiving in respect of DM 9.I. Made in response to Historic England
MM55	Para 2.76	<p><i>Amend paragraph 2.76 to read:</i></p> <p>Applicants should seek advice from, and the Council will consult, GLAAS (Greater London Authority Archaeological Service) and, where appropriate, Historic England in all applications...</p>	To assist in Policy application. Made in response to Historic England
MM56	Para 3.9	<p><i>Include the following after the 3rd sentence at paragraph 3.9:</i></p> <p>In accordance with the London Plan, the distinct economics of covenanted private rented developments will be taken into account in the assessment of scheme viability.</p>	For clarity of implementation. Made in response to the Greater London Authority
MM57	Para 4.58	<p><i>Add an additional sentence at end of paragraph 4.58 to read:</i></p> <p>In line with London Plan Policy 7.14, the Council expects that all development should be at least 'air quality neutral'.</p>	To clarify that the policy is in conformity with London Plan. Made in response to the Greater London Authority
MM58	Para 4.59	<p><i>Amend paragraph 4.59 to read:</i></p> <p>“The GLA and London Council’s Best Practice Guidance on Mayor’s ‘The Control of Dust Emissions from Construction and Demolition’ SPG (2014) should be...”</p>	To reflect updated guidance. Made in response to the Greater London Authority
MM59	Para 4.77	<p><i>Add the following additional text to the beginning of paragraph 4.77 as follows:</i></p> <p>The Environment Agency requires that Flood Risk Assessments take into account the hazard posed to the development by</p>	To further clarify. Made in response to the Environment Agency.

		climate change. These should form the basis of any flood risk assessment submitted for sites at risk of fluvial flooding.	
MM60	DM 45 Title and Part A	<p><i>Amend the title to Policy DM 45 to read:</i></p> <p>Maximising Optimising the Use of Town Centre Land and Floorspace</p> <p><i>And amend Policy DM45 Part A to read:</i></p> <p>The Council will seek to maximise optimise the use of land...</p>	Change of terminology to better reflect policy objective. Made in response to Historic England
MM61	Para 7.35	<p><i>Amend the reference to 'Crossrail' in the 2nd last sentence of Paragraph 7.35 to refer to 'Crossrail 2'.</i></p>	Factual update to reference to Crossrail 2. Made in response to Transport for London

Site Allocations			
Modification Reference	Policy / Para / Page	Description of Change	Reason for Change
MM62	Figure 1.4, p.10	<i>Amend Figure 1.4 to reflect the changes to the classifications to employment land designations as set out in the Employment Land Review 2015</i>	Correction to bring into alignment with the alternations and the findings of the Employment Land Review 2015. LBH amendment
MM63	Para 2.19	<i>Amend the date of the grant of approval from 2011 to 2012 at the beginning of paragraph 2.19</i>	Factual correction. Made in response to Quod on behalf of St. William
MM64	Para 2.21	<p><i>Amend the first sentence of paragraph 2.21 to read:</i></p> <p>Buildings in this area are permitted up to nine ten storeys were permitted in the 2012 permission for Clarendon Square.</p>	Factual correction. Made in response to Quod on behalf of St. William
MM65	SA3	<p><i>Amend the last sentence to read:</i></p> <p>Primary shopping areas are designated as shown in yellow as a blue dotted area on the following maps.</p>	Correction. Made in response to CGMS obo Parkstock Ltd

MM66	SA3 Figure 1.9 p.14	<p><i>Amend SA to delete the 11th bullet point as follows:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Through redevelopment of Arena Retail Park, extension of the primary shopping area and secondary shopping frontage at the southern end of Green Lanes, with a reduction in the town centre boundary to reduce the envelope from that of the existing Retail Park to the Green Lane frontage.</u> <p><i>Delete Figure 1.9 in its entirety and renumber subsequent figures throughout the document</i></p>	To ensure the vitality of the District Centre is maintained in response to Representations by Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd and Montagu Evans obo LaSalle Investment Management
MM67	SA4, Table 2	<p><i>Amend column four of Table 2 as follows:</i></p> <p><u>Licenses waste capacity Maximum throughput capacity</u> (tonnes/annum) <u>24,999 2,526</u> <u>75,000 39,773</u> <u>24,000 11,143</u> <u>74,999 27,958</u> <u>Not Known 36,486</u> <u>75,000 31,460</u> <u>6,525 6,326</u></p>	Factual correction - to align with the baseline data on the maximum actual throughput capacity being achieved on existing waste management sites in the North London Waste Plan. LBH amendment
MM68	SA4, Table 2, pg 15 & Figure 1.11	<p><i>Add a further line to Table 2 to include the Restore Community Project waste facility in Tottenham Hale site, and update Figure 1.11 to illustrate the existing waste site:</i></p> <p><u>Restore Community Projects, unit 18 Ashley Road Tottenham Hale London N17 9LJ</u> <u>0.2</u> <u>Recycling (Metals)</u> <u>2,215</u></p>	Factual correction – consistency with the evidence base on existing waste facility capacity from the North London Waste Plan. LBH amendment
MM69	SA8, Para 2.28	<p><i>Amend paragraph 2.28 to read as follows:</i></p> <p>... uses at ground floor, <u>replacement employment floorspace,</u></p>	To clarify the intent of the allocation. Made in response to Barton Willmore on behalf of Workspace

		and new public realm.	
MM70	SA11	<p><i>Reword the second bullet point under 'Development Guidelines' as follows:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Heights should be restricted Development heights</u> on parts of the site directly opposite residential buildings on Caxton and Mayes Roads <u>to should</u> respect their <u>residential</u> amenity. 	To accord with a design-led approach advocated in Policy DM1. Made in response to GL Hearn Limited obo Capital and Regional Plc
MM71	SA12	<p><i>Amend the sixth bullet point under the 'Development Guidelines' to read:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>The amenity of the Mayes Road side of the Mall should be improved where feasible Development on parts of the site directly opposite residential buildings on Caxton and Mayes Road should respect their residential amenity.</u> 	For clarity. LBH amendment
MM72	SA14	<p><i>Include a new 'Development Guideline' as follows:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Evidence will be required to ensure proposed uses contribute positively to the vitality of Wood Green Metropolitan Town Centre.</u> 	To ensure that future development reflects changing local retail conditions. LBH amendment
MM73	SA15	<p><i>Amend 'Current/Previous use' to read:</i></p> <p><u>Predominantly residential Ground floor town centre uses with predominantly residential above.</u></p>	To better reflect existing conditions. Made in response to GL Hearn on behalf of Wood Green Investments Ltd.
MM74	SA15, Para 2.42	<p><i>Amend the paragraph 2.42 to remove the reference to Crossrail as follows:</i></p> <p>Redevelopment of existing town centre buildings to create a landmark building marking Turnpike Lane <u>Crossrail</u> Station, with town centre uses at ground and first floor levels and residential above.</p>	For clarity in the absence of Crossrail 2. Made in response to GL Hearn on behalf of Wood Green Investments Ltd
MM75	SA15	<p><i>Amend the third bullet point under 'Site Requirements' to replace the reference to "<u>Westbourne</u>" with "<u>Westbury</u>"</i></p>	Factual correction. Made in response to GL Hearn on behalf of Wood Green Investments Ltd.

MM76	SA17	<p><i>Amend the third bullet point under ‘Site Requirements’ to read:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consult with Thames Water regarding E enhancement of the New River path should be provided as part of the development. 	<p>To better reflect the management of the ownership of land along the New River. Made in response to Fairview Homes</p>
MM77	SA17	<p><i>Amend the fifth bullet under ‘Site Requirements’ to read:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development should be used as an enabler to improve access to the bridge over the rail line between the site and Hornsey station. This will involve improvements to Hampden Road and consideration of how access to Hornsey station can be made more accessible Consultation with Network Rail regarding how the design of the site can benefit access improvements to Hornsey station, including through enabling works. 	<p>To better reflect management of the rail station. Made in response to Fairview Homes</p>
MM78	SA18, Para 2.48	<p><i>Add the following sentence to the beginning of paragraph 2.48:</i></p> <p>Comprehensive redevelopment of the site for employment-led mixed use development with residential.</p>	<p>For clarity of the intent of the allocation. Made in response to Rapleys LLP on behalf of LaSalle Investment Management</p>
MM79	SA18, Para 2.49	<p><i>Amend paragraph 2.49 to read as follows:</i></p> <p>The Wood Green Cultural Quarter represents a significant opportunity for improvement in the greater Wood Green Area. The redevelopment of the existing employment area will play a key role in supporting the realisation of new employment floorspace in the Borough through attractive and complementary employment uses with ancillary enabling residential use. Development will create a high quality public realm which supports opportunities to visit and gather. In order to facilitate a new connection from the Cultural Quarter towards Alexandra Palace Station, a new pedestrian/cycle link should be designed created as part of comprehensive or otherwise phased development on this site to be delivered by a masterplan</p>	<p>For clarity. Made in response to Rapleys LLP on behalf of LaSalle Investment Management</p>

		approach.	
MM80	SA18	<p>Amend the third bullet point of the 'Site Requirements' to read as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An extension of Clarendon Road, from its current cul-de-sac, through the site, to link with Wood Green Common should be created. The opportunity to extend Clarendon Road as a pedestrian and cycle link through the site allocation to link with Wood Green Common should be explored as part of the Masterplan 	For clarity in the provision of a pedestrian and cycle link through this site. Made in response to Rapleys LLP on behalf of LaSalle Investment Management
MM81	SA21	Move the sixth 'Site Requirement' into the 'Development Guidelines' for this allocation.	For clarity. LBH amendment
MM82	SA23	<p>Add a further 'Development Guideline' as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The site adjoins a north-south ecological corridor running along the rail line, and this should be preserved and where possible enhanced through the development. 	To ensure consistency with other sites in the document. LBH amendment
MM83	SA29	<p>Include an additional 'Development Guideline' as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence will be required to ensure proposed uses contribute positively to the vitality of Green Lanes District Centre. 	To ensure that future development reflects changing local retail conditions. LBH amendment
MM84	SA36	<p>Amend the fifth bullet point in 'Site Requirements' to read:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Taller buildings will be permitted on either side of the new entrance which will help mark Finsbury Park as a destination. This site may be suitable for a tall building if designed in accordance with Policy DM6 	For consistency with the evidence base. Made in response to CGMS obo Parkstock Ltd
MM85	SA36	Amend the site allocation boundary to include the secure cycle park facility, located on the Finsbury Park side of the current site allocation, within the red line boundary.	To enable the achievement of the site requirement regarding replacement and enhancement of the existing secure cycle parking facility. Made in response to CGMS obo Parkstock Ltd

MM86	SA36	Delete " <u>community</u> " from 6 th bullet of the 'Site Requirements'.	For clarity. Made in response to CGMS obo Parkstock Ltd
MM87	SA36	Amend the 11 bullet point under 'Development Guideline' to read: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> New development should be of the highest quality and <u>preserve and</u> enhance the setting of the conservation area and registered park. 	For consistency with the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act. Made in response to CGMS obo Parkstock Ltd
MM88	SA37	Include a further Development Guideline to read as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>The design of buildings should have regard to the long views, and prominence of the site from Finsbury Park.</u> 	To help improve design quality. LBH amendment
MM89	SA39 & SA40	Swap the maps over for site allocation SA39: Gonnermann Antiques Site and Goldsmith's Court and that for SA40: Former Highgate Rail Station.	To correct publishing error. LBH amendment
MM90	SA41	Enlarge the map within the site allocation SA41: Highgate School to show all Highgate School's landholdings.	For clarity. LBH amendment
MM91	SA41	Remove Castle Yard from site allocation boundary for SA41: Highgate School.	To correct updated landholder information. Made in response to CBRE on behalf of Highgate School
MM92	SA 42	Amend the site allocations map for Site Allocation SA42: Highgate Bowl to show the SLOL boundary for as set out in the Preferred Option consultation document.	To correct publishing error. Made in response to Highgate Society and Savills on behalf of NHS Property Services
MM93	SA42	Amend the sixth 'Site Requirement' to read: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Public routes through the various land parcels that make up the Bowl will need to be introduced to unify the open space, <u>subject to the operational requirements of existing landowners and/or occupiers.</u> 	For clarity. Made in response to Savills on behalf of NHS Property Services
MM94	SA43	Amend the site size to read <u>0.5</u> Ha and not <u>1.7</u> Ha	Factual correction. Made in response to Anne Bentham
MM95	SA43	Amend the second sentence of the sixth bullet point under 'Site Guidelines' to read:	For clarity. Made in response to Anne Bentham

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Development adjoining Summersby Rd and the properties at 28-36 Muswell Hill Rd should be designed with regard to the amenity of neighbouring properties. 	
MM96	SA47	<p><i>Amend the first sentence of the third bullet point under 'Site Requirements' to read:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The existing maximum feasible amount of employment floorspace should be replaced provided through within the redevelopment on the site. 	To ensure conformity with other sites. Made in response to Icen Projects obo Oakforest Properties Ltd
MM97	SA48	<p><i>Add the following additional 'Site Requirements':</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any development or disposal of the site will need to have regard to the August 2015 determination of the Town Hall and Square as an 'Asset of Community Value'. The public square and mature trees should be retained and public access maintained 	Factual addition. Made in response to the Crouch End Neighbourhood Forum
MM98	SA52	<p><i>Amend the fifth bullet point under 'Development Guidelines' to read as follows:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pedestrian and cycling connectivity through the site, including The design of any new development should have regard to the opportunity to provide a pedestrian and cycle connection from the existing estate on Alexandra Road, to New Southgate station, which Crossrail 2 is proposed to be connected to, should be optimised as part of the design of any new development. 	For clarity. Made in response to the North London Waste Authority
MM99	SA52	<p>Add the following sentence to the end of the final 'Development Guideline':</p> <p>More vulnerable uses should not be permitted in Flood Zone 3.</p>	For clarity. LBH amendment
MM100	SA53	<p><i>Amend the site address to read:</i></p> <p>Alexandra Park and Palace, Alexandra Palace Way, Muswell Hill</p>	Correction. Made in response to Lynne Zilkha

		N8	
MM101	SA53	<p><i>Amend the Planning Designation to read:</i></p> <p>Listed Building: Alexandra Palace (Grade II) and Alexandra Park (Grade II Listed Park and Garden of Special Historic Interest in England).</p>	Factual correction. Made in response to Lynne Zilkha
MM102	SA53 para 2.150	<p><i>Amend the first sentence of paragraph 2.150 to read:</i></p> <p>Conservation of original facades, while enabling a range of uses, including but not limited to Hotel/restaurant, making use ...</p>	Grammatical correction/typo. LBH amendment
MM103	SA53	<p><i>Amend the seventh bullet point under the 'Site Requirements' to read:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The site Alexandra Palace is the centrepiece of the Alexandra Park and Palace Conservation Area, and development should preserve or enhance its appearance as per statutory requirements. 	For clarity. Made in response to the Alexandra Park and Palace Conservation Area Advisory Committee and Lynne Zilkha
MM104	SA54	<p><i>Amend the first bullet point of the 'Development Guidelines' to read:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development should respect the back gardens of neighbouring properties on Winton Gardens, Wroxham Gardens and Blake Rd. 	For clarity. Made in response to Liz Avital
MM105	SA55	<p><i>Update the section on 'Current/Previous use' to read:</i></p> <p>Football changing facilities, former hospital, former school</p>	Factual update. Made in response to Savills obo Catalyst Housing Group
MM106	SA62	<p><i>Amend the 'ownership' section of the site allocation to read:</i></p> <p>LBH Mix of public and private freeholds and leaseholds</p>	Factual correction. LBH amendment
MM107	SA65	<p><i>Amend the first 'Site Requirement' to read:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development will be required to be in accordance with a masterplan, prepared with the involvement of residents and 	In recognition of neighbouring land management responsibility. Made in response to Canal and River Trust

		the Canal and River Trust involvement.	
MM108	SA65	<p><i>Amend the fourth bullet point under 'Site Requirements to read:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Development on this site should enhance improve access to the tow path along the River Lea in accordance with London Plan policies 7.28: Restoration of the Blue Ribbon Network and 7.30: London's Canal's and other River and Waterspaces. 	To provide clarity. Made in response to Canal and River Trust
MM109	Schedule 1, Table 5	<i>Table 5 (Site Allocations) to be amended and updated to ensure the numbering is in line with Figure 2.1 of the Development Management DPD.</i>	To ensure accuracy and clarity. Made in response to concerns highlighted by CGMS obo Parkstock Ltd, APPCAAC and Quod obo St. William.

Late Submissions

Site Allocations			
Modification Reference	Policy / Para / Page	Description of Change	Reason for Change
MM110	SA1	<p><i>Amend the first bullet point of the Policy to read:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites required for the construction and operation of Crossrail 2 will be protected as necessary' 	For clarity. Made in response to Transport for London
MM111	Page 22	<i>Amend the heading and subsequent references to 'Tall buildings clusters' to read 'Potential tall buildings clusters'.</i>	For consistency with Policy DM6. Made in response to Historic England.
MM112	Sites SA6, SA7, SA14, SA15, SA17, SA26, SA27, SA28, SA29, SA30, SA31, SA32, SA33, SA34, SA35, SA47, SA53, SA57	<p><i>Update text on groundwater source protection zones within each of the site allocations listed to read:</i></p> <p>This site is in a groundwater Source Protection Zone and therefore any development should consider this receptor in any studies undertaken. Studies should be undertaken to understand what potential contamination there is on this site</p>	For consistency. Made in response to the Environment Agency.

		<u>prior to any development taking place and where appropriate, a risk management and remediation strategy.</u>	
MM113	SA9	<p>Add the following 'Site Requirement':</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>This site will need to contribute positively to the adjoining Noel Park conservation area and its significance.</u> 	To add clarity regarding heritage considerations in any future development. Made in response to Historic England
MM114	SA19	<p>Add the following 'Site Requirement':</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>This site will need to contribute positively to the adjoining Wood Green Common conservation area and its significance.</u> 	To add clarity regarding heritage considerations in any future development. Made in response to Historic England
MM115	SA23	<p>Add a further 'Site Requirement' as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>The site adjoins a north-south ecological corridor running along the rail line, and this should be preserved and where possible enhanced through the development.</u> 	To ensure consistency with other sites. Made in response to Campaign to Protect Rural England
MM116	SA28	<p>Amend the seventh bullet point under the 'Site Requirements' to read:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Development should preserve or enhance the appearance of the St Ann's Conservation Area 'as per statutory requirements' rephrased to ensuring developments conserve and enhance the significance of the conservation area and its setting. The site lies within the St Ann's Conservation Area and the development should preserve or enhance its appearance as per the statutory requirements.</u> 	To add clarity regarding heritage considerations in any future development. Made in response to Historic England
MM117	SA37	<p>Add a further 'Site Requirement' as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Development of the site will need to contribute positively to the adjoining Stroud Green conservation area and its significance.</u> 	To add clarity regarding heritage considerations in any future development. Made in response to Historic England
MM118	SA38	<p>Move the penultimate 'Development Guideline' to a 'Site Requirement' and amend it to read as follows:</p>	To add clarity regarding heritage considerations in any future

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>New development should be of the highest quality and enhance the character and appearance of the conservation area along with demonstrable public benefit Development on this site will need to contribute positively to the adjoining Highgate conservation area and its significance.</u> 	development. Made in response to Historic England
MM119	SA39	<p><i>Amend the second bullet point under the 'Site Requirements' to read as follows:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>The site lies within the Highgate Conservation Area and development should preserve or enhance its appearance as per the statutory requirements Development on this site will need to contribute positively to the adjoining Highgate conservation area and its significance.</u> 	To add clarity regarding heritage considerations in any future development. Made in response to Historic England
MM120	SA41	<p><i>Add a further 'Site Requirement' to read as follows:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Development should contribute positively to the setting of the statutorily and locally listed buildings on the site and neighbouring the School, having regard to their significance. Historic England has also highlight the potential for significant archaeology including a medieval settlement and recorded pre-school chapel.</u> 	To add clarity regarding heritage considerations in any future development. Made in response to Historic England
MM121	SA45	<p><i>Amend the third bullet point under the 'Site Requirements' to read:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Vehicle and servicing access should be located off the TLRN. Noting that</u> this site would benefit from a car free or low level of parking development. 	For clarity. Made in response to Transport for London
MM122	SA46	<p><i>Add a further 'Site Requirements' to read as follows:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Development on this site will need to contribute positively to the adjoining Hornsey conservation area and its significance.</u> 	To add clarity regarding heritage considerations in any future development. Made in response to Historic England

MM123	SA48	<p><i>Amend the second bullet point under the 'Site Requirements' to read as follows:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Enabling development will be permissible subject to it being appropriate where the impact on the impact on the setting of the existing Town Hall building. The significance of the Town Hall should be the primary consideration when assessing the appropriateness of new enabling development in its setting.</u> 	To add clarity regarding heritage considerations in any future development. Made in response to Historic England
MM124	SA50	<p><i>Add two a further 'Site Requirements' to read as follows:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>This site will need to contribute positively to the adjoining Muswell Hill conservation area and its significance.</u> • <u>Development should contribute positively to the setting of the statutorily and locally listed buildings on the site, and their significance.</u> 	To add clarity regarding heritage considerations in any future development. Made in response to Historic England
MM125	SA52	<p><i>Amend the wording to reflect the wording in the sequential test and clarify that no more vulnerable development will be permitted in areas of Flood Zone 3.</i></p>	For consistency. Made in response to the Environment Agency
MM126	SA52	<p><i>Add a further 'Development Guideline' to read as follows:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>TfL is investigating options for decking or a mini tunnel over this part of the North Circular Road as recommended by the Roads Task Force, which if progressed could change the development context for this site.</u> 	To represent the latest position. Made in response to Transport for London
MM127	SA53	<p><i>Amend the seventh bullet point under the 'Site Requirements' to read:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The site is the centrepiece of the Alexandra Palace <u>and Park</u> Conservation Area and development should preserve or enhance its appearance as per the statutory requirements <u>and avoid harm to the significance of the listed building.</u> 	To add clarity regarding heritage considerations in any future development. Made in response to Historic England
MM128	SA64	<p><i>Add a further 'Development Guideline' as follows:</i></p>	To add clarity regarding heritage

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The site has potential for significant archaeology, given its location opposite Bruce Castle and within the historic medieval core, which will require assessment. 	considerations in any future development. Made in response to Historic England
--	--	--	---

Tottenham AAP			
Modification Reference	Policy / Para / Page	Description of Change	Reason for Change
MM129	Figure 1.4	<i>Amend Figure 1.4 to add the town centre boundaries for Seven Sisters & Bruce Grove town centres</i>	Publishing error. LBH amendment
MM130	Para 4.6	<i>Insert the following at the end of the third sentence of Paragraph 4.6:</i> <u>“... whilst enabling the component parts of a site allocation to be developed out separately.”</u>	For clarity of intent and Policy application. Made in response to Quod obo Muse Developments and the Canal and River Trust
MM131	Table 1	<i>Update Table 1 to reflect changes in designations of ‘High Road West’ which should come under the list of ‘Local Employment Areas’.</i> <i>Additionally, add to this list (Local Employment Areas) ‘High Road East’</i>	For accuracy and clarity and in line with the Employment Land Study. Made in response to the representation by Quod on behalf of THFC.
MM132	Figure 2.4	<i>Amend the map at Figure 2.4 to reflect accurate designations as per Employment Land Study 2015, Appendix A Map of Defined Employment Areas, including the Millmead Strategic Industrial Location (SIL) boundary.</i>	For accuracy and clarity and in line with the Employment Land Study. Made in response to the representation by Quod on behalf of THFC.
MM133	Para 4.12	Amend the third line of the paragraph to read: ‘... Policy AAP2-AAP3 seeks to ... ‘	Updated cross referencing. Made in response to the representation by Quod on behalf of THFC.
MM134	Para 4.13	Amend the fourth line of the paragraph to read: ‘...with policy AAP2(E) AAP3(D) . .. ‘	Updated cross referencing. Made in response to the representation by Quod on behalf of THFC.

MM135	Para 4.15	Amend the second line of the paragraph to read: ' AAP2(D) AAP3(D) will ensure ...'	Updated cross referencing. Made in response to the representation by Quod on behalf of THFC.
MM136	AAP4	Amend Part A(c) of the Policy to read: ... enabling <u>employment</u> led mixed-use schemes...	For clarity. LBH amendment
MM137	Table 3	Update Table 3 to include: <u>High Road West - Local Employment Area Regeneration Area</u> <u>High Road East - Local Employment Area Regeneration Area</u>	For accuracy and clarity and in line with the Employment Land Study. Made in response to the representation by Quod on behalf of THFC.
MM138	Figure 4.1	Amend the map at Figure 4.1 to reflect accurate designations as per Employment Land Study 2015, Appendix A Map of Defined Employment Areas, including the Millmead Strategic Industrial Location (SIL) boundary.	For accuracy and clarity and in line with the Employment Land Study. Made in response to the representation by Quod on behalf of THFC.
MM139	AAP 5	Delete Part A(e) and amend Part A of Policy AAP5 to read as follows: A. The Council will seek to strengthen... and the wider historic environment. <u>This includes reviewing Conservation Area Management Plans where appropriate, including reviewing existing boundaries.</u> Proposals for new development will be required to: A.e Reviewing Conservation Area Management Plans where appropriate, including reviewing existing boundaries.	To clarify that the Council is responsible for reviewing and amending Conservation Area Management Plans, where appropriate. Made in response to Savills on behalf of Interfine Properties and Savills on behalf of Empeyrean and Paul Simon Magic Group NB: Also requested by English Heritage in their late response
MM140	Para 4.29	Amend the fourth bullet point of paragraph 4.29 to read: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Managing <u>and improving</u> the capacity of the road network <u>and improving the street environment</u>; and 	Recognises the limited potential to improve the capacity of the road network and to align with the objectives to improve the public realm and quality of the environment. Made in response to Cllr Reith & Tottenham and Wood Green Friends of the Earth
MM141	Para 4.35	Amend paragraph 4.35 to read:	To appropriately reflect opportunities for

		<p>Due to the significant need for new housing and employment in Haringey, and Tottenham, delivering new open spaces of a significant scale is not considered realistic. However the Council will seek to facilitate the creation of new open spaces where opportunities arise, and to create linkages between open spaces for people and wildlife. Tottenham does have a range of excellent open spaces within it, and on its doorstep. Additionally development contributions have the potential to be collected and spent on improving, and improving access to existing open spaces. Together, these aims will form a green grid of networked, high quality open spaces.</p>	<p>open space provision, in line with other Local Plan policies. Made in response to Cllr Reith & Tottenham and Wood Green Friends of the Earth</p>
MM142	Para 4.36	<p><i>Amend the second sentence of paragraph 4.36 to read:</i></p> <p>The more built up character of many of the areas identified as being deficient in access to open space and nature makes the eradication of deficiency all the more challenging”.</p>	<p>To correct grammatical error. Made in response to Tottenham and Wood Green Friends of the Earth</p>
MM143	AAP 11	<p><i>Amend Policy AAP 11 (B) to read:</i></p> <p>“The Council will actively seek to introduce tertiary employment education operators...”</p>	<p>Correction to appropriately refer education operators. Made in response to Tottenham and Wood Green Friends of the Earth</p>
MM144	SS 2	<p><i>Amend the last bullet point under ‘Development Guidelines’ to read:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The urban realm along, and linking to, Seven Sisters Road West Green Road should be of a high quality so as to provide a pleasant approach to Seven Sisters station by foot. 	<p>To appropriately refer West Green road, bordering the southern edge of the site allocation. Made in response to Tottenham and Wood Green Friends of the Earth</p>
MM145	Para 5.57	<p><i>Insert the following in paragraph 5.57 after the third sentence:</i></p> <p>The buildings on the corner of Chestnut Road and Rycroft Way benefit from an unimplemented planning permission (HGY/2013/0155), making provision for 64 student rooms through partial demolition.</p>	<p>Factual update. Made in response to SF Planning on behalf of Jigsaw Student Living</p>

MM146	Para 5.84	<p><i>Amend bullet point 6 of paragraph 5.84 to read:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To create a new premier leisure and sports destination for London 	To aid effectiveness. Made in response to the representation by Quod on behalf of THFC.
MM147	NT4	<p><i>Amend the third bullet point under 'Development Guidelines' to read:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The potential for new employment and leisure opportunities to be developed along Park Lane ... 	For clarity and to accurately reflect the opportunity for integration with the provision of leisure uses on the Tottenham Hotspur stadium development. Made in response to the representation by Quod on behalf of THFC.
MM148	NT5 Policies map	<p><i>Amend the boundary to Site Allocation NT5 and the North Tottenham Growth Area to exclude 21-35 Headcorn Road and 1-19 Peshurst Road.</i></p>	To reflect changes agreed from consultation at Preferred Option that was not subsequently made at Pre-Submission. LBH amendment
MM149	NT7	<p><i>Under Existing Planning Permission, delete current text and replace with the following:</i></p> <p>HGY/2015/3000: "Proposed demolition and comprehensive phased redevelopment for stadium (Class D2) with hotel (Class C1), Tottenham Experience (sui generis), sports centre (Class D2); community (Class D1) and / or offices (Class B1); housing (Class C3); and health centre (Class D1); together with associated facilities including the construction of new and altered roads, footways; public and private open spaces; landscaping and related works. Details of "appearance" and "landscape" are reserved in relation to the residential buildings and associated community and / or office building. Details of "appearance" and "scale" are reserved in relation to the sports centre building. Details of "appearance" are reserved in relation to the health centre building. Proposal includes the demolition of 3 locally listed buildings and includes works to a Grade II Listed</p>	Updated information to reflect recently granted planning permission. Made in response to the representation by Quod on behalf of THFC.

		<p>building for which a separate Listed Building application has been submitted (Ref: HGY/2015/3001)."</p> <p>HGY/2015/3001:</p> <p>"Listed building consent for internal and external works to No.744 High Road, all in connection with the use of the building for ancillary museum uses associated with a separate planning application for the Northumberland Development Project."</p> <p>HGY/2015/3002 (at 44 White Hart Lane):</p> <p>"Erection for a temporary period of, together with use as, a construction compound."</p>	
MM150	NT7	<p><i>Amend seventh bullet point under Site Requirements as follows:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The leisure-retail/commercial uses should be complementary and not compete with the uses proposed on the expanded Local Centre on the western side of the High Road within the High Road West area." 	For clarity and accuracy. Made in response to the representation by Quod on behalf of THFC.
MM151	Table 6 pg 111	<p><i>Amend the development capacity for the Hale Tower (within TH8) for town centre uses to read 1,800m² and not 2,500m².</i></p>	Correction for consistency. Made in response to Quod obo Muse Developments and the Canal and River Trust
MM152	TH9	<p><i>Amend the indicative development capacity for the commercial floorspace to read 3,200m² and not 1,570m².</i></p>	Correction for consistency. Made in response to Quod obo Muse Developments and the Canal and River Trust
MM153	TH9	<p><i>Amend paragraph 5.169 to read:</i></p> <p>Comprehensive redevelopment to provide a mix of uses, with replacement employment floorspace, new residential and a leisure destination linked to the Lee Valley Regional Park.</p>	For clarification. Made in response to Quod obo Muse Developments and the Canal and River Trust
MM154	TH9	<p><i>Amend the fifth bullet point under 'Development Guidelines' to read as follows:</i></p>	For clarification. Made in response to Quod obo Muse Developments and the Canal and River Trust

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Building heights will have to respond to the site's location within the Lee Valley Regional Park, and for the garage site, the need to maintain the proximity and openness of the 'Green Belt'. 	
MM155	TH12 – site boundary	<i>Amend site boundary to include the annex building on Herbert Road as detailed in Empyrean Development response to Reg 19 consultation. See site plan pg 4 of Empyrean Development representation.</i>	For accuracy and clarity, in response to Empyrean Developments representation.

Late Submissions

Tottenham AAP			
Modification Reference	Policy / Para / Page	Description of Change	Reason for Change
MM156	Whole Plan (further work to undertake)	<i>Site allocations will be reviewed and updated to reflect appropriate levels of flood risk, groundwater sensitivity and presence of culverted main rivers.</i>	To appropriately reflect levels of flood risk, groundwater sensitivity and presence of culverted main rivers. Made in response to the Environment Agency
MM157	Figure 1.4	<i>Revise and amend Figure 1.4 of the AAP to provide a more detailed 'key diagram' for the Tottenham AAP area.</i>	To provide a clearer illustrative map showing the key proposals of the AAP within the Tottenham area. Made in response to the Greater London Authority.
MM158	Para 2.50	<i>Amend first sentence of paragraph 2.50 to read:</i> The Tottenham area contains a large number of listed buildings, both statutory listed and local listed.	To better reflect extent of heritage assets. Made in response to Historic England
MM159	Para 2.55	<i>Amend second last sentence of paragraph 2.55 to read:</i> Recognising this, Historic England has included several of the listed buildings in the Heritage at Risk Register, which is compiled using information provided by the Council.	Factual update. Made in response to Historic England

MM160	Para 3.18	<p>Amend <i>first sentence of paragraph 3.18 to read:</i></p> <p>Tottenham has a number of significant heritage assets which are facing pressures from development, <u>as well as wear and tear overtime some of which are in poor and vulnerable condition, underused and facing an uncertain future.</u></p>	Amended text to better set in context the objective for enhancement of heritage assets. Made in response to Historic England
MM161	Figure 4.1	<p>Amend <i>Figure 4.1 to identify Millmead Industrial Estate as Strategic Industrial Location (SIL)</i></p>	Factual update, to appropriately reflect Millmead Industrial Estate as SIL in line with London Plan. Made in response to the Greater London Authority.
MM162	SS2, TG2, BG3, BG4	<p>Amend the 'Development Guidelines' for Sites SS2, TG2, BG3 and BG4 to read:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The site lies in a <u>groundwater</u> Source Protection Zone and <u>as such the Council will expect developments to demonstrate no adverse impact on water quality</u> therefore development should consider this receptor in any studies undertaken. 	To ensure consistency with wording for this guideline across the Plan. Made in response to the Environment Agency
MM163	Para 5.67	<p>Additional text to paragraph 5.67 second bullet:</p> <p><u>In addition, there are a number of a number of grade II listed buildings requiring repair, and viable reuse</u></p>	Factual update for further information. Made in response to Historic England
MM164	Para 5.94	<p>Amend paragraph 5.94 final bullet to read:</p> <p>Enhancing the heritage assets that contribute positively to the conservation area by a programme of improvements to refurbish and reuse existing buildings, including facade and public realm improvements and <u>increased safety safer and more accessible environments.</u></p>	For clarity, to bring text in line with Policy DM 2, which sets out further details on safety. Made in response to Historic England
MM165	NT5	<p>Amend the ninth bullet point under 'Site Requirements' to read as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>The regeneration of heritage assets should be considered where the benefits of change and sympathetic development</u> 	To ensure consistency with the NPPF. Made in response to Historic England

		<p><u>can enhance the overall feasibility and benefit of future investment into the future of heritage buildings in the area</u> <u>Where feasible, viable uses should be sought for existing heritage assets, which may require sensitive adaptations and sympathetic development to facilitate.</u></p>	
--	--	---	--