Haringey Local Plan Pre-submission Response Form

Pre-Submission Consultation 8th January – 4th March 2016

The Council is consulting on four Development Plan Documents (DPDs). These are the:

- Alterations to the Strategic Policies;
- Development Management DPD;
- Site Allocations DPD; and
- Tottenham Area Action Plan.

They will be submitted to the Secretary of State for Examination in Public later this year. This is your final chance to make comments on the documents.

How to Make Comments

This form is designed for postal comments, if you wish to respond by email, please use the Word compatible version of this form which is available for downloading from the Council's website www.haringey.gov.uk/localplan.

Please note that you need to use a separate Part B form for each comment that you make. Your comments will be considered by a Planning Inspector, therefore they should only relate to the 'tests of soundness' and legal compliance (see guidance note at the back of this form, in the DPDs appendices and on our website for more information).

Complete the form overleaf and return to:

Local Plan team Or by email to: Or complete it online at:

Level 6, River Park

House, Idf@haringey.gov.uk <u>www.haringey.gov.uk/localplan</u>

Wood Green London N22 8HQ

To ensure your comments are considered, please ensure we receive them by 5pm on Friday 4th March 2016.

Next Steps

In the summer of 2016 the Planning Inspector will hold an "Examination in Public" to consider the DPDs and comments made to them. The timetable for the Examination in Public will be advertised when it has been confirmed.

For further information please visit www.haringey.gov.uk/localplan or email ldf@haringey.gov.uk



Ref:

Local Plan Publication Stage Response Form



(for official use only)

Name of the DPD to which this representation relates:

Site Allocations DPD

Please return to London Borough of Haringey by 5pm on Friday 4th March 2016

This form has two parts:

Part A - Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate Part B for each representation you wish to make.

Part A

 Personal Def 	tails¹	2. Agent's Details
Title	Ms	
First Name	Rachel	
Last Name	Allison	
Job Title (where relevant)	Chair	
Organisation (where relevant)	Highgate Neighbourhood Forum	
Address Line 1		
Address Line 2		
Address Line 3		
Post Code		
Telephone Number		
Email address		

¹ If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Personal Details Title, Name and Organisation boxes, but complete the full contact details for the Agent.



Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each response

Name or Organisation: Highgate Neighbourhood Forum

3.	To which part of the Local Plan	does this rep	oresentation rela	ite?			
Para	agraph Po	licy		Policies	SA 44		
· uic	grapii	cy		Мар	(Hillcrest)		
1	Do you consider the Local Plan i	ic (tick):					
4.	Do you consider the Local Flam	is (lick).					
4.(1)	Legally compliant	Yes		No			
4.(2)	Sound	Yes		No			
	Complies with the Duty o-operate	Yes		No			
Pleas	e tick as appropriate						
 Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty-to-cooperate. Please be as detailed as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments. 							
This site allocation (SA44 Hillcrest) is in potential conflict with the emerging Highgate Neighbourhood Plan Policy 0S3 (Local Green Space).							
Specific open spaces on Hillcrest, lying within the boundary of SA44 have been allocated in the HNP as Local Green Spaces in accordance with NPPF 76 and NPPF 77. This designation in the Highgate Neighbourhood Plan has been subject to an independent SEA, which finds that designation of these areas of Hillcrest as Local Green Space is the most appropriate strategy when considered against the alternative (designation as housing land).							
The designation of these areas on Hillcrest as Local Green Space is robustly supported by credible evidence to demonstrate that the designation meets each criteria of NPPF 77 (proximity, specialness and local significance)							
The allocation of the entire Hillcrest site as a potential site for new housing conflicts with the local green space designation and is unsound in that it is:							
Unjustified: (It is not the most appropriate strategy when compared against reasonable alternatives)							
Inconsistent with National Policy: The plan does not, as required in Paragraph 76 of the NPPF, support the ability of local communities to designate areas of particular local importance as local green spaces.							
		,	(Continue on a sepa	rate sheet/ evnand	I hav if necessary		
6.	Please set out what modification legally compliant or sound, having above where this relates to sound.	n(s) you cons ng regard to	sider necessary the test you hav	to make the Lo ve identified at	cal Plan question 5		



the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as detailed as possible.

Hillcrest (SA 44) should be deleted as a Housing allocation and put forward as a local green space allocation in conformity with Para 76 of the NPPF. As SA44 designated the whole of Hillcrest as a housing site, it is unclear whether the local green space designations (which are redlined in the neighbourhood plan) could co exist with the housing allocation. If SA44 is not deleted it should be modified to show the boundaries of the housing allocation, which should not encroach on the designated local green spaces.

(Continue on a separate sheet/ expand box if necessary)

Please note your representation should cover concisely all the information, evidence, and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7.		ion is seeking a modificati oral part of the examination		sider it necess	ary to
	No, I do not vexamination	vish to participate at the or		'es , I wish to μ he oral examir	
8.	If you wish to part consider this to be	cipate at the oral part of the necessary	ne examination,	please outline	e why you
this	issue. The Neighbo	n and the Highgate Neigh ourhood Plan SEA support ce to defend this position.			
exar	nination, we would	ood Plan be ready for inspect this issue to have a in the Local Plan examin	been resolved,		
		tor will determine the mos ted that they wish to partic	, , , , ,		lopt to hear
9.	Signature	Dachol Allison		Date:	2 nd March



Making a Representation: Guidance Note Haringey's Local Plan Pre-Submission Consultation 8th January – 4th March 2016

The Local Plan documents have been through a number of stages of consultation. The outcomes of each stage of consultation have in turn informed the next stage of development of the documents. The current stage of consultation offers the final opportunity to comment on the draft documents before it is submitted to the Planning Inspector for Independent Examination.

The previous stages of consultation offered wide opportunity to contribute to the development of the policy documents. As the final drafts, any comments made on the documents at this stage may not result in a change but will be recorded and considered alongside the documents at Examination. This will mean that all comments and representations will be made public. This will be the last stage to comment on the Local Plan documents unless requested by the Inspector.

How to respond to Local Plan documents at this stage?

If you seek a change to any of the document your comments should state clearly what you want changed and why, and you should provide evidence to support these proposals. You should provide wording, where relevant, for the changes proposed.

The documents should be consistent with national and regional policy. If you think this is not the case you should state clearly the reasons why. If you feel that an additional policy should be included in the Local Plan documents, which go against national or regional policy, in order to meet a clearly identified and justified local need, you should state what the local circumstances are and provide supporting evidence.

If you think another policy should be included please ensure the issues are not already addressed in:

- national or regional policy; or
- in the other Local Plan documents.

If the issues are not addressed elsewhere, please state why your suggested policy should be included in the specified Local Plan document and what it should say.

The Local Plan documents must meet two key criteria before it can be submitted and adopted. During Examination the Planning Inspector will only consider comments which refer to these criteria. Therefore, when making representations please keep in mind the following:



Has the Local Plan documents met the following legal requirements?

Has it been prepared in accordance with the Local Development Scheme, which sets out the work programme for the Local Plan?

Is it in compliance with the Statement of Community Involvement, which sets out how the Council will involve the community in the preparation of planning documents and in considering planning applications?

Has it been subject to a Sustainability Appraisal to examine the social, economic and environmental impacts of the policies?

Does it have regard to national policy?

Does it conform generally with regional policy as set out in the London Plan?

Is it in line with the objectives set out in Haringey's Sustainable Community Strategy?

Further detailed guidance on how to respond to the documents can be found

Are the Local Plan documents sound?

- Is the document justified?
 - Is it based on robust and credible evidence?
 - Is it the most appropriate strategy when considered against the alternatives?
- Is the document effective?
 - Is it deliverable?
 - Is it flexible?
 - Will it be able to be monitored?
- Is it consistent with national policy?

in the appendices of each document.

Please note that all responses received will be made publically available.

All responses must be received by 5pm 4th March 2016

