

From: Quentin Given [REDACTED]
Sent: 02 March 2016 14:05
To: LDF
Subject: Response to Site Allocations DPD

Dear colleagues

Tottenham & Wood Green Friends of the Earth Response to Site Allocations DPD

1. Decentralised energy. We welcome the inclusion of this in the guidelines for most large sites. Our concern is whether this is strong enough – should it be in the requirements rather than guidelines to give it better purchase?
2. SA4 Safeguarding waste sites. We note that the O'Donovans site in Markfield Road will be affected by Crossrail 2, and recommend that a site is safeguarded in a more suitable location for the business to relocate. The draft N London Waste Plan proposes sites in the NE Tottenham industrial area.
3. SA5 LBH Civic Centre. We welcome proposals to develop the car-parking area. In general reducing car-parking capacity will reduce the number of car journeys and encourage alternative modes of travel.
4. SA7 Bus garage. We welcome the proposed green chain through this site and ask that it should be as ecologically rich as possible so it is a wildlife corridor not just a pleasant walking route.
5. SA9 Mecca Bingo. We support the idea of deculverting the Moselle on this and other sites (eg SA11, SA12, SA21, SA61).
6. SA17. Clarendon Square Gateway. a) We welcome the requirement to enhance the ecological corridor at this site. b) the potential to provide heating via a heat pump in the New River should be explored.
7. SA22. Clarendon Square. We welcome the proposed improvement to the pedestrian and cycling route to the Penstock Tunnel. Development should add to and enhance the ecological corridor on its western edge.
8. SA23. Clarendon Road South. Development should add to and enhance the ecological corridor on its western edge.
9. SA25 Coronation Sidings. We welcome the proposal that the development should enhance the ecological corridor. Should this be made a site requirement?
10. SA27 Wightman Road. We support the requirement to preserve and enhance the ecological corridor, but we think the words "where possible" should be removed to strengthen the requirement.
11. SA28 St Ann's Hospital. We support the requirement to enhance the SINC in the south of the site. We support the requirement for a north-south route through the site but ask that this should be an ecological corridor not just a walking/cycling route, to provide a link between the railway corridor and Chestnuts Park.
12. SA29 Arena Retail Park. We support proposals to increase density and reduce parking capacity on the site, and to create a continuous SINC from the slopes into Finsbury Park.
13. SA30 Arena Design Centre. We support the proposal for a connection under the railway to the St Ann's site; and the enhancement of the ecological corridor at the north of the site; and the new green chain linking the 'slopes' towards Finsbury Park.
14. SA31. Crusader Industrial site. We welcome the proposed connection of the green grid network, and improvements to the SINC on the west of the site.
15. SA32 Omega Works. We welcome the proposed improvements to the SINC on the west of the site.
16. SA22. We welcome the proposed pedestrian and cycling route. The development should not just open up but also improve the ecological corridor along the New River.
17. SA34 Overbury and Eade Roads. We welcome the proposed pedestrian and cycling route. The development should not just open up but also improve the ecological corridor along the New River.
18. SA52. Pinkham Way. We recommend that the employment use designation should be removed and the site should become wholly a SINC. If development does take place then the potential to deculvert the stream should be included.
19. SA58. Red House Care Home. We welcome the proposed extension of the open land and ask that this should be ecologically enhanced.
20. SA60. Keston Centre. e oppose any encroachment on the MOL.

21. SA61. Barber Wilson. We welcome the proposed deculverting of the Moselle here, especially as it is just upstream from the deculverted stretch in Lordship Rec.
22. SA63 Selby Centre. Existing community uses should be re-provided, including the wood recycling facility. And development should provide a green link to the Weir Hall Open Space.
23. SA65. Leabank and Lemsford Close. Any development should provide a green frontage to the river, helping link Markfield Park to the Springfield Park site to the south.
24. Table 5 – local views. We ask for an added view, Lee Valley to Alexandra Palace. This view links two important areas of open space, it links the east and west of the borough, and provides a link from

Yours faithfully

Quentin Given
Coordinator

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>