

Respondent Name: Susannah Haiste

(Submitted through online Snap survey)

Document	Policy/Para number	Legally compliant?	Sound?	Complies with DtC?	Please give further comments.	Please set out suggested changes	Do you wish to attend the oral examination?
Site Allocations	SA59	No	No	No	I write with specific reference to pages 144-145 of the Site Allocations DPD, covering SA59, the Professional Development Centre (PDC) on Downhills Park Road. I dispute most strongly the legal compliance of the Local Plan on a number of grounds, most specifically that none of the consultation has been done properly, as at no point were any of the residents to be most affected informed. I live on Belmont Avenue, overlooking the site covering SA59, and after checking with other residents who overlook the site, all were completely unaware of the plans and consultation under taken last year, which these current plans seem to suggest was undertaken. In some communication with Erik Nilsen, who works in Planning Policy at Haringey, he quotes: "For this site, and all others included in the draft Plan,	I feel very strongly that no proper consultation has been undertaken with those who will be most affected by these proposed changes. I would therefore argue for a new consultation process.	Not specified

Respondent Name: Susannah Haiste

(Submitted through online Snap survey)

				<p>notification letters have been sent to owners and occupiers of buildings and land within the identified site allocation boundary. As agreed in the draft Statement of Community Involvement update, we have also posted notices around the sites to inform the wider community about the consultation." We have received no such notification, neither about this year's consultation, nor about the initial consultation that was done last year. Is Mr Nilsen suggesting that a letter was sent to the PDC itself? This would make very little sense! It is the properties on the boundaries of the site allocation that should have received these letters - these were not sent last year or this year. Regarding notices, none have been posted in our street at any point and none were posted outside the PDC last year. We have checked Ivatt Way, which is the other side of the boundary and no notices were posted there either. This year's notices consist of 2 small pieces</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--

Respondent Name: Susannah Haiste

(Submitted through online Snap survey)

				<p>of paper at the entrance to the PDC. If a resident of Belmont Avenue's commute does not take them past the entrance to the PDC, how are they to know about the consultation process? Yesterday morning we received a letter about the proposed changes to the CPZ with a chance to comment on these. This is a simple and direct approach that works. So one part of Haringey considers this necessary to fulfil their obligation of consultation whilst another in effect hides something from local people that would have a far bigger impact on our lives than where we park our cars. The LDP is a complex set of documents that are not easy to plough through for the average person and it takes some effort to even find the allocations document on the website yet alone SA59. And if we don't even know that we should be looking for this, what chance do we have to comment? To really do what it says you should do in the Statement of Community</p>		
--	--	--	--	---	--	--

Respondent Name: Susannah Haiste

(Submitted through online Snap survey)

					Involvement, a copy of SA59 should have been posted through our doors with a covering letter in February 2015. For this, I maintain that there has been a failure in your consultation process that invalidates the consultation and therefore the proposals.		
--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--