

Respondent Name: G Holt

(Submitted through online Snap survey)

Document	Policy/Para number	Legally compliant?	Sound?	Complies with DtC?	Please give further comments.	Please set out suggested changes	Do you wish to attend the oral examination?
Site Allocations	Not specified	Not specified	Not specified	Not specified	We have been successfully living within site SA61 since we gained planning permission in early 2013 to convert our building into a live/ work residence. We run 2 viable design businesses on the ground floor on this site as well as living on the first floor. Some of the local industries all sited within SA61, including Barber Wilsons & Co Ltd have supplied bespoke fixtures and fittings for our design projects. This local proximity to industry is vital not only to us but to other creative industries working within London, as well as internationally. We serve both local and overseas clients as do Barber Wilsons & Co Ltd. ENVIRONMENTAL Townscape and Cultural Heritage (SA Report10.14) - Preserve or enhance buildings and areas of architectural and historic interest Industry has existed and	Not specified	No

Respondent Name: G Holt

(Submitted through online Snap survey)

					<p>flourished within this site since the late 18th century. Although this site is not within a conservation area many of these buildings are an asset to Haringey and express architecturally our great industrial heritage. Whilst a provision has been added to the site requirements to preserve the frontage of Barber Wilsons & Co Ltd many of the other buildings on this site deserve and should be preserved too. We should be protecting and enhancing our natural, built and historic environment with care. ECONOMY Economic Growth (SA Report 10.9) - Retain existing local employment and create local employment opportunities. There appears to be conflicting demands within the local plan; create more homes but at the cost of viable employment land? We shouldn't be obliterating credible (and in the case of Barber Wilsons & Co Ltd, world famous and Royal warranted) businesses employing many local people</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Respondent Name: G Holt

(Submitted through online Snap survey)

					<p>who in turn supply many other local businesses in favour of creating more housing. We should be promoting mixed used sites not wholly residential sites that become densely populated without adequate employment or longterm contribution to the economy. Employment land should be protected. Progress should be made but not at the cost of employment, heritage and community. The aim of this policy (point 2.168) to allow residential use to enable creation of a new pedestrian and cycle route seems rather weak; as residents and business owners we are in favour of this green link but feel that residential development is not needed to enable this.</p>		
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--