Respondent Name: Hannah Ward

(Submitted through online Snap survey)

Document	Policy/Para number	Legally compliant?	Sound?	Complies with DtC?	Please give further comments.	Please set out suggested changes	Do you wish to attend the oral examination?
Site Allocations	SA65 para 2.176	No	No	No	I am a leaseholder of a flat in Leabank View and Lemsford Close (SA65). There is no reason given in the Site Allocations DPD for the destruction of the estate aside from the potential for more housing stock, however there is also a recognition that this potential is limited due to height restrictions. Adding a small number of properties does not justify the considerable distress and disruption of destroying people's homes (not to mention the disruption to the neighbourhood). Furthermore there is no suggestion that any new homes would benefit local residents in housing need. The estate is very quiet and has no social problems. The neighbours are friendly and on good terms. There are areas where children play and the park is next door. It feels safe. The buildings are in good condition and have recently	Remove SA65 from the site allocation DPD. The DPD does not provide sound reasons for such a wholesale destruction of people's homes.	Yes

Respondent Name: Hannah Ward

(Submitted through online Snap survey)

undergone a number of
improvements. I live in a block of
six flats and it is sturdy,
functional and secure. Many of
the residents have lived here for
extended periods (I know at least
two of my neighbours in my block
alone have lived here for more
than 15 years each). To destroy
people's homes for little overt
benefit is wholly unsound.
Further to my previous
submission (<i>above</i>), I would also
like the following to be noted. I
bought my flat in Leabank and
Lemsford in August 2015. I am
the leaseholder with Haringey
Council owning the freehold. The
Local Plan and its potential
impact on my property was NOT
disclosed by Haringey Council
either as part of the Local Search
or in the Landlord's Information
Pack ahead of the purchase. I have since become aware that
the plans were made available to
residents in February 2015 when
the original consultation took
place. I would argue this lack of
disclosure is both not legally

Respondent Name: Hannah Ward

(Submitted through online Snap survey)

	compliant and also fails in the duty to co-operate. Such a lack of openness and transparency at this early a stage suggests that the intention for co-operation with residents is unlikely to be fulfilled and in fact wholly	
	undermines it.	