


Ref:          <b>(for official use only)</b>	<b>Local Plan Publication Stage Response Form</b>	
--	---	--

Name of the DPD to which this representation relates:

<b>Development Management DPD</b>
-----------------------------------

---

**Please return to London Borough of Haringey by 5pm on Friday 4<sup>th</sup> March 2016**

---

This form has two parts:  
 Part A – Personal Details  
 Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate Part B for each representation you wish to make.

---

## Part A

	1. Personal Details <sup>1</sup>	2. Agent's Details
Title	Mr	Miss
First Name	Alan	Tanya
Last Name	Nagle	Jordan
Job Title (where relevant)		Director
Organisation (where relevant)	Parkstock Ltd	RPS CgMs
Address Line 1	c/o Agent	140 London Wall
Address Line 2		London
Address Line 3		
Post Code		EC2Y 5DN
Telephone Number		020 7832 0255
Email address		tanya.jordan@cgms.co.uk

---

<sup>1</sup> If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Personal Details Title, Name and Organisation boxes, but complete the full contact details for the Agent.

## Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each response

Name or Organisation:

RPS CgMs for and on behalf of Parkstock Ltd

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph  Policy  Policies Map

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is (tick):

4.(1) Legally compliant Yes  No

4.(2) Sound Yes  No

4.(3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate Yes  No

Please tick as appropriate

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty-to-cooperate. Please be as detailed as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

**Policy DM55:**

DM55 requires a masterplan to be prepared for the wider area and beyond to accompany development proposals for allocated sites. This would need to involve engagement with other landowners and occupiers of other parts of the allocated site.

Whilst we can understand the benefits of a masterplan approach, demonstrating how individual submissions would not compromise future proposals and involving engagement with adjoining owners where possible, the Council should take a pragmatic approach to engagement with neighbours on a site by site basis.

There may be circumstances where adjoining landowners are unwilling to engage or discuss proposals and such situations should not delay or hamper development proposals unnecessarily.

The policy as currently worded is therefore not effective.

(Continue on a separate sheet/ expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as detailed as possible.

The supporting text should explain that the level of engagement with neighbouring landowners should be proportionate to the proposed scheme, and if an applicant has taken on reasonable endeavours to engage with other landowners who are not forthcoming then the Council will not allow this to delay or hamper development proposals unnecessarily.

(Continue on a separate sheet/ expand box if necessary)

**Please note** your representation should cover concisely all the information, evidence, and supporting information necessary to support/ justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

**After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

**No**, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

**Yes**, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary

*Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in the oral examination.*

9. Signature

RPS CgMs for and on behalf of Parkstock Ltd

Date:

2/3/16