

Schedule of Modifications to the Development Management DPD: November 2016

Development Management			
Main Modifications			
Modification Reference	Policy / Para / Page	Description of Change	Reason for Change
DMMod1	Whole Plan	<i>Throughout documents: Replace “Consequentially” with “Consequently”</i>	Typographical error. LBH amendment
DMMod2	Whole Plan	<i>As a result of additions or deletions, amend subsequent policy and paragraph numbering throughout the document and ensure subheadings in reasoned justification to the policies remain relevant</i>	For clarity. LBH amendment
DMMod3	Whole Plan	<i>Replace “shop fronts” with “Shopfronts” throughout the document</i>	Grammatical correction - “Shopfront” and “shop front” used interchangeably throughout. LBH amendment
DMMod4	Policy DM2	<i>Amend Policy DM2 Part A(d) to read:</i> d. <u>Comply with Have regard to</u> the principles set out in ‘Secured by <u>eDesign</u> ’.	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod5	Paragraph 2.14	<i>Add an additional sentence at end of paragraph 2.14 to read:</i> <u>Proposals will be assessed against the principles of ‘Secured by Design’. The latest published guidance in this respect should be referred.</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod6	Policy DM3	<i>Amend Policy DM3 Part A(c) to read:</i> c. <u>Appropriately Mmaintained in perpetuity.</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod7	Policy DM3	<i>Amend second sentence of Policy DM3 Part B to read:</i> <u>The management of these spaces, including their use and public access, will need to be agreed by the Council should be positively managed, maintained to a high standard, and public access secured.</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod8	Policy DM5	<i>Amend Policy DM5 Part A(b) to read:</i> b. Makes a positive contribution to the <u>characteristics and</u> composition of the local view, <u>where possible</u> ; and	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.

DMMod9	Policy DM5	<p><i>Amend Policy DM5 Part A(c) as follows:</i></p> <p>c. Be consistent with Has had regard to the Council's Tall Buildings and Views Supplementary Planning Document."</p>	To recognise that the SPD has not yet been adopted. Made in response to Rapleys on behalf of LaSalle Investment Management, Quod obo St. William, and Quod obo Muse Developments and the Canal and River Trust
DMMod10	Policy DM5	<p><i>Amend Policy DM5 to include an additional criterion DM5 Part E to read:</i></p> <p><u>E. Proposals should have regard to views identified in Conservation Area Appraisals and Management Plans (See Policy DM9).</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod11	Figure 2.1	<i>Graphic update to improve legibility of map (i.e. scale and resolution).</i>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod12	Policy DM6	<p><i>Amend Policy DM6 Part B to read:</i></p> <p>B. Proposals for taller buildings that project above the prevailing height of the surrounding area must be justified in community benefit as well as urban design terms and should conform to the following general design requirements:</p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod13	Policy DM6	<p><i>Amend Policy DM6 Part C(c) as follows:</i></p> <p>c. Be consistent with Have regard to the Council's Tall Buildings and Views Supplementary Planning Document."</p>	To recognise that the SPD has not yet been adopted. Made in response to Rapleys on behalf of LaSalle Investment Management, Quod obo St. William, and Quod obo Muse Developments and the Canal and River Trust
DMMod14	Figure 2.2	<i>Amend Figure 2.2 to include two additional locations potentially suitable for tall buildings - Apex House and Finsbury Park – to accord with the SLR evidence base.</i>	Technical correction to reflect the evidence published to accompany the Pre-Submission Local Plan. Made in response to CGMS obo Parkstock Ltd
DMMod15	Policy DM9	<p><i>Amend Policy DM9 Part A to read:</i></p> <p>A. Development that sustains conserves and enhances the significance of a</p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.

		heritage asset and its setting will be supported.	
DMMod16	Policy DM9	<i>Delete the last sentence of Policy DM9 Part J(c) as follows:</i> c. The proposals address relevant policies (A-I) above. <u>Proposals that cause harm should be exceptional in relation to the significance of the asset, and be clearly and convincingly justified in line with national policy.</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod17	Paragraph 2.75	<i>Amend the second to last sentence of paragraph 2.75 to read:</i> If it is suitably demonstrated that this is not possible, a programme of conservation will be required including satisfactory excavation and recording of remains on site along with arrangements for archiving, <u>including publication and deposition in an appropriate designated museum.</u>	Additional guidance to clarify requirements for archiving in respect of DM 9.1. Made in response to Historic England
DMMod18	Policy DM11	<i>Delete Policy DM11 Part A(d) as follows:</i> <u>d. The need to optimise housing outputs on sites</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod19	Policy DM11	<i>Amend Policy DM11 Part A(e) to read:</i> e. The need to achieve <u>inclusive and</u> mixed <u>and balanced</u> sustainable communities.	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod20	Policy DM 11	<i>Delete Policy DM11 Part E as follows:</i> <u>E. Institutional investment which provides long term investment in the private rental sector (not including accommodation for students) will be supported by the Council where it meets local housing needs and is of a high quality and consistent with the policies in this Plan, including the requirement to provide affordable housing.</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod21	Paragraph 3.9	<i>Include the following after the 3rd sentence at paragraph 3.9:</i> <u>In accordance with the London Plan, the distinct economics of covenanted private rented developments will be taken into account in the assessment of scheme viability.</u>	For clarity of implementation. Made in response to the Greater London Authority
DMMod22	Paragraph 3.9	<i>Amend paragraph 3.9 to include additional wording at the end of paragraph to read:</i>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.

		<u>Institutional investment which provides long-term investment in the private rental sector (not including accommodation for students) will be supported by the Council where it meets local housing needs, is of a high quality and consistent with the policies in this plan, including the requirement to provide affordable housing.</u>	
DMMod23	Policy DM12	Amend Policy DM12 to include a new criterion DM12 Part F to read: <u>F. Proposals for residential extensions should have regard to the Council's relevant Supplementary Planning Documents, where appropriate, including the South Tottenham House Extensions SPD.</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod24	Paragraph 3.17	Delete paragraph 3.17 as follows: <u>The Council considers that there are exceptional circumstances for residential extensions in South Tottenham that merit further considerations. Proposals will therefore be expected to have regard to the South Tottenham House Extensions Supplementary Planning Document.</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod25	Policy DM13	Amend Policy DM13 Part B(a) to read: a. Sites that are artificially sub-divided or re-developed in phases. <u>This will be assessed based on the following:</u> <u>i. Whether the sites/land parcels are in the same ownership;</u> <u>ii. The occupied status of sites/land parcels at the grant of planning permission for the first application and at the commencement of the development;</u> <u>iii. Land agent/infrastructure provider intelligence as to development interest; and</u> <u>iv. The time lapsed between completion of the first development before planning permission is sought for the next.</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod26	Policy DM13	Delete Policy DM13 Part B(b) as follows: <u>b. Additional residential units that are created through amended planning</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.

		<u>applications;</u>	
DMMod27	Policy DM13	Amend Policy DM13 Part B(c) to read: c. Additional residential units proposed above that <u>provided</u> by <u>unimplemented</u> permitted development;	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod28	Policy DM13	<i>DM13 B (e) has a second (e) in the numbering which should be deleted.</i>	Typographical error. LBH amendment
DMMod29	Policy DM13	Amend Policy DM13 Part F(b) to read: b. Secure a more <u>balanced inclusive and mixed</u> community <u>in accordance with Policy DM11.</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod30	Policy DM13	Delete Policy DM13 Part G as follows: <u>G. The Council will seek to achieve 20% of new units on small sites to be achieved as affordable, in line with SP2 and set out in the Planning Obligations SPD.</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod31	Policy DM13	Delete Policy DM13 Part H as follows: <u>H. Cash in lieu contributions are only acceptable as a last resort and are also subject to the exceptional circumstances listed above.</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod32	Paragraph 3.21	Insert an additional paragraph after paragraph 3.21 to read: <u>Where a building or part of a building benefits from an unimplemented prior approval for conversion to residential use as permitted development, proposals for additional residential development (i.e. through extension to the building or further change of use), will be subject to the requirement for affordable housing. In such circumstances, the affordable housing assessment will take account the total residential units to be provided in the development as a whole.</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod33	Policy DM15	Amend Policy DM15 Part B(b)(iii) to read: iii. <u>Necessary</u> level of supervision, management and care/support;	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod34	Policy DM15	Amend Policy DM15 Part D(c) to read:	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.

		c. The accommodation is of a high <u>standard quality design</u> , including <u>adequate consideration for</u> unit size, <u>and compliance with</u> daylight and sunlight <u>standards</u> ;	
DMMod35	Policy DM15	<i>Amend Policy DM15 Part D(f) to read:</i> f. The accommodation can be secured by agreement for occupation by members of a specified educational institution(s), or, <u>subject to viability</u> , the proposal will provide an element of affordable student accommodation <u>in accordance with Policy DM13</u> .	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings .
DMMod36	Policy DM15	<i>Amend Policy DM15 Part E(f) to read:</i> f. The <u>standard of</u> accommodation and facilities, including <u>provision for</u> safety and security, is suitable for the intended occupiers.	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings .
DMMod37	Paragraph 3.33	<i>Amend text from second sentence of paragraph 3.33 and include additional text after last sentence of the paragraph to read:</i> <u>Applicants should submit evidence of an undertaking where appropriate.</u> Where there is not an undertaking <u>by involving</u> a specific educational institution, providers will be expected to deliver an element of student accommodation that is affordable for students <u>in line with the London Plan and associated guidance, including the Mayor’s Housing SPG, which</u> sets out further details in this regard. <u>To avoid confusion, an “undertaking” is a nominations agreement between a provider of Purpose Built Student Accommodation (PBSA) and one or more academic institutions.</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings .
DMMod38	Paragraph 3.33	<i>Insert an additional paragraph following paragraph 3.33 to read:</i> <u>Student accommodation is included within the London Plan strategic housing requirement for Haringey. Being non-conventional housing, it will be captured separately for monitoring purposes within the Authority Monitoring Report. This will complement information provided through the Mayor’s Academic Forum which informs London Plan monitoring.</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings .
DMMod39	Policy DM16	<i>Amend Policy DM16 Part A(g) to read:</i>	Modification arising from Examination

		g. The proposal provides for a mix of unit sizes <u>in line with Policy DM11 (i.e. proposals which seek to maximise the number of one bedroom or studio units will not be acceptable where an alternative mix including larger units could be practically provided).</u>	in Public hearings.
DMMod40	Paragraph 3.36	Amend 2 nd sentence of paragraph 3.36 to read: This will ensure the Council can protect the remaining existing stock of family houses (<u>3+ bedrooms</u>) within these areas (see Figure 3.1 'Family Housing Protection Zone'), and to ensure that, across the Borough, the range of Haringey's housing needs can be met.	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod41	Policy DM17	Delete Policy DM17 Part A(c) as follows: <u>c. They satisfy the appropriate Haringey Environmental Health Standards.</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod42	DM17 Part B(a)	Amend Part B(a) of Policy DM17 to read: a. Where the property does not meet the appropriate standards for an HMO (<u>as set out in the Housing Act 2004 and the Management of HMOs (England) (Regulations) 2006</u>) and has no realistic prospect of meeting the standards; or	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod43	Paragraph 3.39	Amend the second sentence of paragraph 3.39 to read: The Article 4 Direction requires those wishing to change a single use dwelling unit (<u>C43</u> Use Class) to a small HMO (<u>C34</u> Use Class) within this area of the Borough to apply for planning permission.	Correction to Use Class Orders. LBH amendment
DMMod44	Paragraph 3.39	Include the following additional text at the end of paragraph 3.39: <u>HMOs will be required to satisfy the appropriate Haringey Environmental Health Standards.</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod45	Policy DM20	Amend Part A of Policy DM20 as follows: <u>A. Open Space is protected from inappropriate development by Policy SP13. The Council will not grant planning permission for proposals for</u>	Gives effect to the presumption against the loss of open space in Strategic Policy SP13. Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.

		<u>Development that protects and enhances Haringey's open spaces will be supported, that would result in the loss of open space., unless an assessment has been undertaken which shows that the open space is surplus to requirements for the use as an open space</u>	
DMMod46	Policy DM20	Amend Part G of Policy DM20 to read: ...on the site, <u>in accordance with the open space standards set out in the Haringey Open Space and Biodiversity Study (2013)</u> , subject to viability.	For clarity on implementation. LBH amendment
DMMod47	Paragraph 4.11	Delete the last sentence of the paragraph and replace with the following: <u>The NPPF states that existing open space, sport and recreation facilities should not be built on unless clearly surplus to requirements, or where the loss would be replaced by equivalent or better provision in terms of quantity and quality, or where the need for and benefits of the development clearly outweigh the loss. Haringey's Open Space and Biodiversity Study (2013) shows that there is a significant quantitative shortfall in accessible open space to meet the needs of the Borough's population, and for this reason Strategic Policy SP13 establishes a presumption against any net loss of open space, and with the exception of small scale ancillary facilities, resists development on open spaces.</u>	The reference to Strategic Policy SP13, which seeks to protect open space within the borough, is removed from Policy DM20 so as not to repeat policy but rather include reference to the Strategic Policy in the supporting text. Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings .
DMMod48	Paragraph 4.15	Amend paragraph 4.15 as follows: The population in Haringey is projected to increase by approximately 75,000 people between 2015-2035. <u>The additional population will be accommodated through the promotion of more compact urban development on existing brownfield land. This, however, should not lead to a reduction in amenity and places greater priority on the need to protect and maintain a well-distributed, well-connected and accessible supply of open space. will place pressure on local services, including open spaces. In this context, it is important that the Council prioritises the protection and enhancement of the Borough's open spaces, as well as improving public access to them.</u> For this reason, open space does not fall within the NPPF definition of 'brownfield / previously-developed sites', although brownfield	Clarifies the open space policy position with regard to previously developed land. Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings .

		sites that exhibit open space characteristics offer the potential to secure further provision upon redevelopment.	
DMMod49	Policy DM22	<p><i>Amend Policy DM22 Part C(b) to read:</i></p> <p>b. All major development located within 500 metres of an existing DE Network, and minor new-build development located within 25 metres, will be expected to secure connection to that network subject to demonstration of technical feasibility and financial viability.</p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod50	Policy DM22	<p><i>Amend Policy DM22 Part C(c) to read:</i></p> <p>c. All major development located within 500 metres of a planned future DE Network, which is considered by the Council likely to be operational within 3 years of a grant of planning permission, will be expected to secure connection to that network subject to demonstration of technical feasibility and financial viability.</p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod51	Policy DM22	<p><i>Delete DM22 Part C(d).</i></p> <p>d. Where connection to an existing or planned future DE network is expected, applicants must submit a feasibility assessment so the Council can determine whether a connection is technically feasible and financially viable.</p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod52	Policy DM23	<p><i>Amend Policy DM23 Part C to read:</i></p> <p>C. Where necessary, adequate mitigation is not must be provided planning permission will be refused.</p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod53	Policy DM23	<p><i>Amend 2nd sentence of Policy DM23 Part D to read:</i></p> <p>D. Proposals for Ppotentially noisy developments may be refused if it cannot be must suitably demonstrated d that measures will be implemented to mitigate its impact.</p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod54	Paragraph 4.58	<p><i>Add an additional sentence at end of paragraph 4.58 to read:</i></p> <p>In line with London Plan Policy 7.14, the Council expects that all</p>	To clarify that the policy is in conformity with London Plan. Made in response to the Greater London Authority

		<u>development should be at least 'air quality neutral'.</u>	
DMMod55	Paragraph 4.77	<p>Add the following additional text to the beginning of paragraph 4.77 as follows:</p> <p><u>The Environment Agency requires that Flood Risk Assessments take into account the hazard posed to the development by climate change. These should form the basis of any flood risk assessment submitted for sites at risk of fluvial flooding.</u></p>	To further clarify. Made in response to the Environment Agency.
DMMod56	Policy DM27	<p>Amend Policy DM27 Part D to read:</p> <p>D. The Council will refuse pP proposals for new development in Source Protection Zones when there would be an <u>must not result in an</u> unacceptable risk to groundwater quality.</p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod57	Policy DM28	<p>Amend Policy DM28 Part D to read:</p> <p>D. The Council will resist pP proposals <u>that would should not</u> adversely affect the natural functioning of main rivers and ordinary watercourses, including through culverting.</p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod58	Policy DM33	<p>Amend Policy DM33 Part A(a) to read:</p> <p>a. A reduction in pedestrian or highway safety; <u>or</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod59	Policy DM34	<p>Amend Policy DM34 to include an additional criterion DM34 Part B to read:</p> <p><u>B. All proposals will be considered having regard to their impact on the historic environment, in line with Policy DM9.</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod60	Policy DM37	<p>Amend DM37 to include a new Part B to read:</p> <p><u>B. Within Locally Significant Industrial Sites (LSIS), proposals for uses not within Use Classes B1 to B8 will only be supported in exceptional circumstances where the following can be demonstrated:</u></p> <p><u>a. The proposal is necessary to facilitate meeting the needs of modern industry and business; or</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.

		<p><u>b. The proposal relates to a use which supports the continued functioning of the LSIS as a predominantly industrial and commercial area; or</u></p> <p><u>c. The proposal would deliver strategic economic benefits of a borough or sub-regional scale; and</u></p> <p><u>d. The loss of the B Use Class land or floorspace would not compromise the strategic employment land requirement; and</u></p> <p><u>e. The proposal would not restrict, prevent or in any way prejudice the continued operation of adjoining or nearby established employment uses.</u></p>	
DMMod61	Policy DM38	<p><i>Amend title of DM38 to read:</i></p> <p><u>Employment Led Regeneration Local Employment Area – Regeneration Areas</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod62	Policy DM38	<p><i>Amend DM38 Part A to read:</i></p> <p>A. The Council will support proposals for mixed-use <u>employment-led</u> development within a Local Employment Area – Regeneration Area or on a highly accessible non-designated employment site where this is necessary to facilitate the renewal and regeneration (including intensification) of existing employment land and floorspace. In addition to complying with other policy requirements, proposals must:</p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod63	Policy DM38	<p><i>Delete DM38 Part A(a) as follows:</i></p> <p><u>a. Suitably demonstrate that for reasons of viability a mixed use scheme is necessary to facilitate the delivery of employment floorspace;</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod64	Policy DM38	<p><i>Amend DM38 Part A(b) to read:</i></p> <p>b. Maximise the amount of employment floorspace to be provided within the mixed-use scheme, <u>having regard to development viability;</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod65	Policy DM38	<p><i>Amend DM38 Part A(c)(ii) to read:</i></p> <p>ii. Flexibility of design to enable adaptability to different business uses over the lifetime of the development; <u>and</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.

DMMod66	Policy DM38	Amend DM38 Part A(c)(iii) to read: iii. Environmental quality of the site, and	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod67	Policy DM38	Re-order DM38 Part A(c)(iv) to DM38 Part A(d) and amend to read: d. Make Pp provision for an element of affordable workspace where viable, ;	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod68	Policy DM38	Delete DM38 Part A(d). d. Investigate the site's potential to contribute to meeting the Borough's identified gypsy and traveller accommodation needs;	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod69	Policy DM38	Amend DM38 Part A(e) to read: e. Ensure an adequate separation of uses appropriate standard of amenity for the development's users and neighbours , particularly where new residential floorspace is introduced as part of a mixed-use scheme;	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod70	Policy DM38	Amended Policy DM38 Part A(g) to read: g. Be designed to enable connection to ultra fast broadband.	For clarity. Made in response to Rapleys on behalf of LaSalle Investment Management
DMMod71	Paragraph 6.12	Amend 3 rd sentence of paragraph 6.12 to read: In response to these issues the Council will apply a more flexible approach to the development of some employment sites, supporting employment-led , mixed-use schemes where they will facilitate site regeneration and renewal.	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod72	Paragraph 6.13	Amend paragraph 6.13 to read: Mixed-use redevelopment of employment land will be restricted to Local Employment Area – Regeneration Areas and other highly accessible non-designated employment sites (see Policy DM40). The Strategic Policies Local Plan provides the basis for a more flexible approach to development in such Regeneration Areas. Furthermore, the London Plan and Haringey's Strategic Policies require that more intensive land uses are directed to highly accessible, sustainable locations. Mixed use schemes proposed on non-designated employment sites will not be considered suitable if they are in	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.

		<u>areas of poor transport accessibility.</u>	
DMMod73	Paragraph 6.14	<p><i>Amend 1st sentence of paragraph 6.14 to read:</i></p> <p>Applicants will be required to submit a viability assessment that clearly demonstrates that the proposed mixed-use scheme <u>is necessary to cross-subsidise and enable maximises</u> the development of employment uses.</p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod74	Paragraph 6.16	<p><i>Delete 2nd sentence of paragraph 6.16.</i></p> <p><u>They should therefore be considered for suitability to meet identified need for gypsy and traveller accommodation, having regard to site viability, design considerations and other relevant Local Plan policies.</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod75	Paragraph 6.17	<p><i>Amend 1st sentence of paragraph 6.17 to read:</i></p> <p>Where non-employment uses are introduced it is imperative that the employment and business function of the LEA-<u>RA or non-designated site</u> remains intact.</p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod76	Policy DM39	<p><i>Amend DM39 to include a new Part A to read:</i></p> <p><u>A. Warehouse living is a specific type of land use that has emerged over time in certain employment locations within Haringey, and lends particular support to the creative industries sector. It does not fall within a specific use class – and is not live/work development – and as such is considered a Sui Generis use.</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod77	Policy DM39	<p><i>Amend DM39 Part A to read:</i></p> <p>A. The Council has made provision for proposals for warehouse living, <u>at selected Local Employment Area – Regeneration Areas</u>, within the Haringay Warehouse District as defined in the Site Allocations <u>Document DPD</u>, and the Foutayne & Markfield Road area as defined in the Tottenham Area Action Plan <u>(See Figure 6.1). Warehouse living proposals will only be acceptable within these identified locations.</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod78	Policy DM39	<p><i>Amend DM39 Part C to read:</i></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.

		C. The preparation of a masterplan will have regard to <u>individual site circumstances and</u> the following matters:	
DMMod79	Policy DM39	<i>Amend DM39 Part E to read:</i> E. Proposals for warehouse living on industrial estates not identified in Part A of the policy will be resisted <u>as will proposals for Live/Work anywhere within the Borough.</u>	As agreed with Hackney Council through a Statement of Common Ground.
DMMod80	Policy DM39	<i>Amend DM39 to include an additional criterion to read:</i> <u>F. Proposals for Live/Work units anywhere within the Borough will be resisted.</u>	As agreed with Hackney Council through a Statement of Common Ground.
DMMod81	Paragraph 6.20	<i>Amend 3rd sentence of paragraph 6.20 to read:</i> The expected outcome is to secure a long-term sustainable economic future for these employment land sites <u>that builds on the amenity being created and complements the unique character of the areas in which they are situated.</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod82	Paragraph 6.21	<i>Amend paragraph 6.21 to include an additional sentence at the end of paragraph to read:</i> <u>In preparing proposals and site masterplans, the Council expects applicants to have engaged with and sought the views of Hackney Council, particularly on sites which adjoin the Borough boundary.</u>	As agreed with Hackney Council through a Statement of Common Ground.
DMMod83	Paragraph 6.22	<i>Insert the following paragraph after paragraph 6.22 to read:</i> <u>For the purposes of monitoring, warehouse living development, including Lawful Development Certificates, will contribute towards Haringey's strategic housing requirement through the provision of non-conventional (non-self-contained) supply, and to the strategic employment land requirement through the provision of communal or dedicated employment floorspace.</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod84	DM39 supporting text	<i>Include a map identifying the relevant site allocations where provision has been made for warehouse living.</i>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.

DMMod85	Policy DM40	<p>Amend title of Policy DM40 to read:</p> <p><u>Loss of Non-Designated</u> Employment Land and Floorspace</p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod86	Policy DM40	<p>Amend Policy DM40 to include a new Part A to read:</p> <p><u>A. On non-designated employment sites within highly accessible or otherwise sustainable locations, the Council will support proposals for mixed-use, employment-led development where this is necessary to facilitate the renewal and regeneration (including intensification) of existing employment land and floorspace. All proposals for mixed-use development must satisfy the requirements of Policy DM38.A(a-g).</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod87	Policy DM40	<p>Amend Policy DM40 Part A to read:</p> <p><u>B.A. Subject to other policy requirements On all other non-designated employment sites (i.e. those which do not meet the location criteria of (A) above), the loss of employment land and floorspace will only be permitted where it can be demonstrated that the building or land is no longer suitable for continued employment use having regard to:</u></p> <p>a. <u>feasible alternative employment uses It is demonstrated that the site is no longer suitable or viable for the existing or an alternative industrial or business use; and</u></p> <p>b. <u>the age and condition of the existing building(s) and the potential for refurbishment or adaptation, in particular to more flexible unit sizes;</u></p> <p>c. <u>site layout, access, and relationship to neighbouring uses;</u></p> <p>d. <u>periods of long-term vacancy</u></p> <p>e. <u>There is clear evidence that an open and of recent, continuous and suitable campaign to marketing the site, covering a minimum continuous period of 3 years, has been undertaken without success.</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod88	Policy DM40	<p>Amend Policy DM40 Part B to read:</p> <p><u>BC. Subject to (B) above, wW</u>here the Council is satisfied that the loss of non-designated employment land or floorspace is acceptable, <u>it will require new</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.

		<p><u>development support will be given to proposals that deliver community uses either as the sole use or as part of a mixed-use development. to apply a sequential approach to delivering an alternative use through redevelopment, as follows:</u></p> <p><u>a. Strategic community infrastructure appropriate to the location;</u> <u>b. Mixed-use development that includes employment generating and/or community uses;</u> <u>c. Residential use</u></p>	
DMMod89	Policy DM40	<p><i>Amend Policy DM40 Part C to read:</i></p> <p>C. <u>Where Pp</u>proposals involv<u>ing</u> the total loss of employment floorspace <u>will be required to make</u> a financial contribution towards employment <u>regeneration projects, training schemes, job brokerage services or business support related</u> initiatives <u>may be sought,</u> in line with Policy SP9 <u>and DM 48.</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod90	Paragraph 6.25	<p><i>Amend 3rd sentence of paragraph 6.25 to read:</i></p> <p>Unless these sites are given protection there is a risk they will be lost to other types of development, <u>such as housing,</u> without full consideration of their potential to support other employment generating uses <u>or strategic infrastructure.</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod91	Paragraphs 6.26 to 6.28	<p><i>Delete paragraphs 6.26, 6.27 & 6.28 and replace with the following:</i></p> <p><u>Therefore, the aim of the policy is to secure the re-provision of new employment floorspace to support local demand for a range of employment uses. Mixed-use redevelopment and changes of use can help to secure the re-provision of employment floorspace that is better tailored to meeting local demand, supported employment diversity, and maintains the vibrancy and viability of an area. On sites where it can be demonstrated that they are no longer suitable for continued employment or commercial use, it is appropriate that these be released for other forms of sustainable development, including community uses where these could help meet locally identified needs.</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.

DMMod92	Policy DM41	<p><i>Amend Part A to read:</i></p> <p>A. Proposals for new retail, leisure and cultural uses within Metropolitan and District Town Centres, <u>and Local Centres</u>, will be supported where they:</p>	Correction as the intention was for Policy DM41 to include Local Centres as set out in the supporting text. LBH amendment.
DMMod93	Policy DM42	<p><i>Amend DM42 Part A to read:</i></p> <p>Within Primary Shopping Frontages of the Metropolitan and District Town Centres, as defined on the Haringey Policies Map, the use of ground floor units for <u>retail, financial & professional services, restaurants & cafes and pubs & bars appropriate town centre uses</u> will be permitted where:</p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings .
DMMod94	Policy DM46	<p><i>Amend DM46 Part A to consolidate with Part A(a) to read:</i></p> <p>A. Proposals for betting shops will only be permitted where they are appropriately located within the Metropolitan Town Centre, a District Town Centre or Local Centre, having regard to Policy DM42 (Primary and Secondary Frontages) and DM43 (Local Shopping Centres); <u>and</u>.</p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings .
DMMod95	Policy DM46	<p><i>Delete Policy DM46 Part A(b).</i></p> <p><u>b. The total number of betting shops (including extant permissions) will not exceed 5% of the units within the town or local centre.</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings .
DMMod96	Policy DM46	<p><i>Amend Policy DM46 to include a new Part B to read:</i></p> <p><u>B. Proposals for new betting shops will be assessed against their impact on town centre vitality and viability, having regard to:</u></p> <p><u>a. The number of existing betting shops in the centre; and</u></p> <p><u>b. The need to avoid overconcentration and saturation of this particular type of use.</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings .
DMMod97	Paragraph 6.55	<p><i>Amend paragraph 6.55 to read:</i></p> <p>The Public Health Directorate has published a Health Evidence Base (2012) to inform preparation of Haringey's Local Plan. This highlights the <u>link between health outcomes and the proximity of betting shops. It concludes there is</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings .

		<u>sufficient evidence to demonstrate that access to gambling venues, including betting shops, leads to increased gambling behaviour and that this, in turn, is associated with poor health outcomes spatial distribution of licensed betting shops in Haringey. The baseline information has since been updated using the Council's licensing data (2016), which shows a notable concentration of betting shops in town centres, particularly in Wood Green and Tottenham, when compared to elsewhere in the Borough.</u>	
DMMod98	Paragraph 6.56	Amend 1 st sentence of paragraph 6.56 to read: The Council is committed to improving the health and well-being of its residents along with visitors to the Borough, <u>including by promoting development that achieves mixed and sustainable communities.</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod99	Paragraph 6.57	Amend paragraph 6.57 to read: The Council considers that the <u>five percent threshold allowance for betting shops in town centres approach</u> is reasonable to support economic development and demand for this use, whilst protecting against the adverse impacts that an overconcentration of betting shops can have on the vitality and viability of town and local centres. <u>This includes impacts on: the overall quality and diversity of the centre's retail offer, including provision for a range uses that encourage linked trips; the continuity of uses in frontages, consistent with other plan policies; local character, townscape and public realm, particularly where there is a need to ensure active frontages; and community safety.</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod100	Figure 6.1	Delete Figure 6.1 "Healthy Eating Zones".	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod101	Policy DM47	Delete Part A of Policy DM47: <u>A. The council will resist proposals for hot food takeaway shops located within 400 meters of the boundaries of a primary or secondary school.</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod102	Policy DM47	Amend Part B of Policy DM47 to read.	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.

		<p>B Subject to (A) above, pProposals for hot food takeaway shops <u>should be located within town centres and</u> will <u>only</u> be permitted where:</p> <p>a. The percentage of hot food takeaway shops will not exceed 5% of designated shopping frontage in the Metropolitan and District Town Centres and local centres;</p> <p>b. Within neighbourhood parades, other non-designated frontages and elsewhere in the borough, it is suitableIt can be demonstrated that the proposal will not result in an overconcentration <u>or excessive clustering</u> of hot food takeaways <u>having regard to the existing number of hot food takeaways within the centre or frontage;</u></p>	
DMod103	Paragraph 6.59	<p><i>Amend paragraph 6.59 to read:</i></p> <p>The Public Health Directorate has published a health evidence base, <u>which, along with Hot Food Takeaway Shops: An Evidence Base Study (2015) has informed</u> preparation of Haringey's Local Plan.This highlights the link between health outcomes and the proximity of hot food takeaways.The evidence identifies <u>a correlation between the distribution of hot food takeaways in Haringey and levels of overweight and obese children that there are approximately 170 hot food takeaways</u> across the Borough. <u>The concentration of hot food takeaways per 100,000 head of the population in Haringey was judged by the National Obesity Observatory as being in England's top quintile of local fast food outlet concentrations.</u></p>	To include reference in the first sentence of the paragraph to newly published evidence: Hot Food Takeaway Technical Paper (Haringey 2016). Made in response to Steve Simms. The remaining modifications arise from Examination in Public hearings.
DMod104	Paragraph 6.60	<p><i>Amend the 1st sentence of paragraph 6.60 to read:</i></p> <p>In light of the above evidence base, it is considered appropriate for the Local Plan to seek to manage the development of hot food takeaways, <u>particularly around primary and secondary schools where they pose a significant health risk to children to deliver mixed and sustainable communities, including viable town and local centres.</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMod105	Paragraph 6.61	<p>The Council considers that the <u>five percent threshold allowance for hot food takeaways in town centres approach</u> is reasonable to support economic development and demand for this use, whilst protecting against the adverse</p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.

		<p>impacts that an overconcentration <u>or excessive clustering</u> of <u>this use hot food takeaways</u> can have on the vitality and viability of town and local centres and <u>other shopping frontages</u>. <u>This includes impacts on: the overall quality and diversity of the centre’s retail offer, including provision for a range uses that encourage linked trips; the continuity of uses in frontages, consistent with other plan policies; local character, townscape and public realm; environmental quality; public amenity; and community safety.</u></p>	
DMMod106	Paragraph 6.62	<p><i>Delete paragraph 6.62</i></p> <p><u>To assist with the implementation of this policy, the Council has mapped a “Healthy Eating Zone” (Figure 6.1) which reflects the extent of the 400 metre exclusion area around schools for hot food takeaways. This map may be revised over time to reflect changes in education provision across the Borough.</u></p> <p><i>and replace with the following paragraph:</i></p> <p><u>The Council considers that hot food takeaways are most appropriately located in town centres, in line with the NPPF ‘town centre first’ approach, where activity levels are generally higher and there is greater potential for linked trips. Hot food takeaway shops often attract a significant number of customers and by virtue of their operation, are commonly associated with environmental and amenity issues such as litter, waste disposal, fumes and noise. Planning conditions may used to ensure there are no adverse impacts on the local area.</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings .
DMMod107	Policy DM48	<p><i>Amend Policy DM48 as follows:</i></p> <p>A. <u>Planning obligations will be sought on a scheme-by-scheme basis having regard to the relevant policy requirements of the Local Plan, development specific impacts, appropriate mitigation, viability, and the statutory tests for the use of planning obligations.</u></p> <p><u>B. The following is a list of some areas where the Council may seek planning</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings .

		<p><u>obligations. Other types of obligations may be necessary to mitigate site specific impacts:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Affordable Housing</u> - <u>Transport and Highways</u> - <u>Employment and Skills Training</u> - <u>Amenity Space</u> - <u>Open Space</u> - <u>Children’s Play Space</u> - <u>Public Realm</u> - <u>Public Art</u> - <u>Sustainable Design and Construction</u> - <u>Carbon Reduction</u> - <u>Decentralised Energy Networks</u> - <u>Biodiversity</u> - <u>Flood Risk</u> - <u>Utilities</u> - <u>Historic Environment</u> - <u>Community Safety</u> 	
DMMod108	Paragraph 7.21	<p><i>Amend paragraph 7.21 Part iii to read:</i></p> <p>Suitable marketing activity of the business as an on-going concern over the past 12 months, <u>as demonstrated through evidence of an unsuccessful campaign to market the site for the existing use at terms which are appropriate to the local economic area (including details of commercial agents, adverts in publications and lease terms offered to existing or previous occupants);</u> and</p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMod109	Policy DM55	<p><i>Amend Policy DM55 Part B to read:</i></p> <p>B. In preparing their proposals and the wider site masterplan, the Council expects the applicant to have engaged with and sought the views of the landowner(s) and occupiers of the other part(s) of the allocated site and,</p>	As agreed with Hackney Council through a Statement of Common Ground.

		where appropriate, neighbouring properties and boroughs .	
DMMod110	Policy DM55	<p><i>Amend Policy DM55 to include a new Part C to read:</i></p> <p>C. In the interim period where a masterplan is to be prepared but has not come forward as part of a planning application, proposals must demonstrate that they will not compromise delivery of the outcomes sought by the site allocation.</p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings .
DMMod111	Paragraph 7.71	<p><i>Insert the following sentence after the third sentence of paragraph 7.71 and include the monitoring table (provided at the end of this schedule) at the end of paragraph 7.71:</i></p> <p>The exception is monitoring of the Warehouse Living Policy DM39 for which the monitoring framework is provided below.</p>	Monitoring provision in relation to Policy DM39 Warehouse Living. Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings .
DMMod112	Appendix F Glossary of Terms	<p><i>Amend definition of Warehouse Living to read:</i></p> <p>Purpose built and genuine integrated, communal working and living accommodation specifically targeted at the creative industries sectors. This development typology does not fall within a specific Use Class and is considered a Sui Generis use.</p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings .
Minor Modifications			
DMMinor1	Paragraph 2.16	<p><i>Amend last sentence in paragraph 2.16 to read:</i></p> <p>Transport for London's (TfL) Streetscape Guidance (2009) (2015) provides guidance for use on TfL roads the Transport for London Road Network (TLRN).</p>	Factual updates. Made in response to Transport for London
DMMinor2	Policy DM5	<p><i>Amend Part B of the Policy DM5 to read:</i></p> <p>B. Obstructions to the Locally Significant Views should be minimised and will be assessed by the Council on their level of impact on the views.</p>	Grammatical correction. LBH amendment
DMMinor3	Figure 2.1 & Appendix A pg 84 & Table 5 Site Allocations	<p><i>Figure 2.1 to be amended, including the Key to provide accurate number referencing.</i></p> <p><i>Consequential amendments are required to the Schedules of views in Appendix</i></p>	To ensure accuracy and clarity. Made in response to concerns highlighted by CGMS obo Parkstock Ltd, APPCAAC and Quod obo St. William.

	pg 162	<i>A (DM DPD) and Table 5 (Site Allocations) to be amended and updated to ensure the numbering is in line with Figure 2.1.</i>	
DMMinor4	Paragraph 2.35	<p><i>Amend Paragraph 2.35 to read:</i></p> <p>There are a number of views included in the Council's Conservation Area <u>Appraisals and</u> Management Plans that proposals should have regard to in order to positively respond to local character.</p>	For clarity. Made in response to Historic England
DMMinor5	Paragraph 2.51	<p><i>Amend the first sentence of the paragraph 2.51 to read:</i></p> <p>Shop fronts with poor quality materials, <u>bulky and crudely attached, fully</u> internal illuminated box fascias ...</p>	For clarity. Made in response to Chris Thomas Ltd obo British Sign and Graphics Association
DMMinor6	Paragraph 2.53	<p><i>Amend the first sentence of the paragraph 2.53 to read:</i></p> <p>Poorly designed or <u>brightly excessively bright</u> illuminated shop fascias ...</p>	Technical amendment to make the policy more implementable. Made in response to Chris Thomas Ltd obo British Sign and Graphics Association
DMMinor7	Paragraph 2.58	<p><i>Amend the second sentence to read:</i></p> <p>The level of detail provided in the statement should be proportionate to the <u>agreed</u> importance of the asset, having regard to its significance and potential impact on this.</p> <p><i>And in the last line of the paragraph insert '<u>Area</u>' between 'Conservation' and 'Advisory'</i></p>	For clarity and factual correction. Made in response to Stroud Green CAAC and Highgate Society
DMMinor8	Policy DM9	<p><i>Amend Policy DM9(D) as follows:</i></p> <p>"... provided they are compatible with and/or <u>compliment complement</u> the special characteristics ..."</p>	Grammatical correction. LBH amendment
DMMinor9	Policy DM9	<p><i>Amend Policy DM9 Part F(d) to read:</i></p> <p>d. Extensions are restricted to less significant parts of the building, relate sensitively to the original building and <u>do</u> not adversely affect the internal or external appearance or character of the <u>listed</u> building, curtilage or its setting.</p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.

DMMinor10	Paragraph 2.76	<p><i>Amend paragraph 2.76 to read:</i></p> <p>Applicants should seek advice from, and the Council will consult, GLAAS (Greater London Authority Archaeological Service) and, <u>where appropriate</u>, Historic England in all applications...</p>	To assist in Policy application. Made in response to Historic England
DMMinor11	Policy DM18	<p><i>Amend Part A of the Policy to read:</i></p> <p>A. Householder extensions to existing basements, <u>or and</u> the construction of new basements, <u>including</u> in existing dwellings...</p>	For clarity to ensure appropriate consideration for all basement development. LBH amendment
DMMinor12	Policy DM18	<p><i>Amend Policy DM18 Part A(b) as follows:</i></p> <p>b. Does not increase flood risk to the property and nearby properties from any source <u>(See Policy DM24)</u></p>	Cross reference for clarity. Made in response to Highgate Society
DMMinor13	Policy DM18	<p><i>Amend DM18 Part B to read:</i></p> <p>B. <u>The Council will not permit Proposals</u> for basements <u>which must not</u> include habitable rooms or other sensitive uses in areas prone to flooding where there is no reasonable means of escape.</p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMinor14	Policy DM22	<p><i>Amend Policy DM22 Part B(b) as follows:</i></p> <p>b. All development that incorporates site-wide communal energy systems should <u>optimise examine</u> opportunities for extending such systems ...</p>	To accord with the wording of London Plan Policy 5.6. Made in response to Rapleys on behalf of LaSalle Investment Management
DMMinor15	Paragraph 4.59	<p><i>Amend paragraph 4.59 to read:</i></p> <p>“The <u>GLA and London Council’s Best Practice Guidance on Mayor’s</u> ‘The Control of Dust Emissions from Construction and Demolition’ <u>SPG (2014)</u> should be...”</p>	To reflect updated guidance. Made in response to the Greater London Authority
DMMinor16	Policy DM24	<p><i>Amend Policy DM24 Part B(d) to read:</i></p> <p>d. Contribute to naturalising watercourses where opportunities arise, <u>in line with Policy DM28 (Watercourses and Flood Defences).</u></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMinor17	Policy DM24	<p><i>Amend Policy DM24 Part C (a) to read:</i></p>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.

		a. Manage and reduce surface water run-off <u>in line with Policy DM25 (Sustainable Drainage Systems) and Policy DM26 (Critical Drainage Areas); and</u>	
DMMInor18	Policy DM24	Amend Policy DM24 Part C(b) to read: b. Manage water and waste water discharges <u>in line with Policy DM29 (On-site Management of Waste Water and Water Supply).</u>	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMInor19	Policy DM30	Amend Policy DM30 as follows: “..... that any impacts caused by the operation of the facility can be controlled <u>to achieve levels that such that the facility</u> will not have a significant adverse effect on human health and the environment <u>in line with regulatory requirements.</u> ”	To ensure clarity in expectations for policy implementation. Made in response to the North London Waste Authority.
DMMInor20	Policy DM34	Amend Policy DM34 Part A to read: A. <u>Where planning permission is required, t</u> he Council will only permit parking on front gardens where a minimum of 50% of existing soft landscaping area is being retained. <u>All proposals must appropriately manage flood risk in line with other plan policies.</u> Any hard standing should <u>seek to improve drainage and reduce flooding through incorporate</u> the use of a permeable material.	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMInor21	Policy DM45	Amend the title to Policy DM45 to read: <u>Maximising Optimising</u> the Use of Town Centre Land and Floorspace	Change of terminology to better reflect policy objective. Made in response to Historic England
DMMInor22	Policy DM45	Amend Policy DM45 Part A to read: A. The Council will seek to <u>maximise optimise</u> the use of land...	Change of terminology to better reflect policy objective. Made in response to Historic England
DMMInor23	Policy DM47	Amend DM47 Part C to read: C. The Council may <u>impose apply</u> conditions on any planning permission granted for a hot food takeaway to ensure appropriate <u>control over management of</u> noise, vibration and odours, waste management, hours of operation and community safety.	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.

DMMinor24	Paragraph 7.34	Amend the reference in the first sentence to refer to Policy DM 55 and not DM56 , and the last sentence to refer to Policy DM56(A) and not DM56(B) .	To ensure clarity and accurate referencing. LBH amendment.
DMMinor25	Paragraph 7.35	Amend the reference to 'Crossrail' in the 2 nd last sentence of Paragraph 7.35 to refer to 'Crossrail 2 '.	Factual update to reference to Crossrail 2. Made in response to Transport for London
DMMinor26	Paragraph 7.70	Fourth line to be amended as follows: ... Table 17.1	To ensure clarity and accurate referencing. LBH amendment.
DMMinor27	Page 82	Paragraph numbering does not continue accurately from the previous page. Amend to ensure correct numbering.	Correction. LBH amendment.
DMMinor28	Appendix A	Second line to be amended as follows: ... Figure 2.3 2.1	To ensure clarity and accurate referencing. LBH amendment.
DMMinor29	Appendix A	Amend Appendix A 'Schedule of Locally Significant Views' to include coordinates indicating origin points of the views.	Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.
DMMinor30	Appendix E	Include in Appendix E the "Open Space and Recreational Standards SPD"	For clarity. LBH amendment
DMMinor31	Appendix F Glossary of Terms	Amend the definition for self build housing as follows: Self-Build and Custom Housebuilding Housing : As defined in the Community Infrastructure Regulations (Amendment) 2014 Self-build and Custom Housebuilding Act 2015 , as amended by the Housing and Planning Act 2016 , means the building or completion of a house or houses by an individual or an association of individuals for their own occupation.-A dwelling built by a person (including where built following commission by a person, and occupied by a person as the person's sole or main residence.	Clarifies the legal definition of Self-build and Custom Housebuilding. Modification arising from Examination in Public hearings.

Minor Modifications (Table)

Appendix A: Schedule of Locally Significant Views (See Modification Reference DMMinor29)

Ref	View/Description	Easting	Northing
1	Alexandra Palace - - - > Central London (City and St Paul's) Panorama Strategic view	529595	189954
2	Twyford Avenue - - - > across Fortis Mere School playing fields to Enfield Panorama Local view	528103	189740
3	Alexandra Palace - - - - > to Highgate Ridge Panorama Local view	529513	189902
4	Cranley Gardens - - - - > view along street and backdrop to West Green beyond Linear Local view	528461	189139
5	Parkland Walk, bridge over St James Lane - - - -> Crouch End valley, ridge and central London landmarks beyond Linear Local view	528824	189403
6	Archway Road north - - - - > Archway Bridge Linear Local view	529197	187243
7	Archway Road south - - - - > Archway Bridge Linear Local view	529088	187452
8	Ferme Park Road at junction of Ridge Road - - - - > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	530719	188157
9	Ridge Road at junction of Denton Road - - - - > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	531045	188248
10	Alexandra Park Road at junction Curzon Road and Windermere Road - - - - > to Enfield and Lea Valley Linear Local view	528783	190382
11	Alexandra Palace - - - > Broomfield Park and beyond Panorama Local view	529779	190212
12	Hampstead Lane - - - - > view along the lane and towards Highgate village – glimpses between buildings Townscape Local view	527981	187504
13a	Highgate Hill - - - - > view south towards Emirates and Central London Linear Local view	528674	187340
13b	Highgate Hill - - - - > view south towards Emirates and Central London Linear Local view	528742	187314
14	Alexandra Palace - - - - > Crouch End Ridge line Panorama Local view	529651	190010
15	Station Road, New Southgate - - - > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	528625	192382
16	Broomfield Park - - - > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	530245	192965
17	Bounds Green Road railway bridge - - - > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	530146	191275
18	Devonshire Road, corner of Devonshire Hill Lane - - - > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	532435	191605
19a	Lordship Lane at Bruce Castle - - - > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	533498	190620

19b	Lordship Lane at Bruce Castle - - - > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	533433	190606
19c	Lordship Lane at Bruce Castle - - - > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	533355	190592
20	Watermead Way railway bridge - - - - > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	534561	190028
21	Downhill Park Road - - - - > Alexandra Palace Linear Local view	532438	189723
22	Adams Road - - - - > Alexandra Park Linear Local view	532791	190244
23	Alexandra palace - - - - > from corner of Seven Sisters Road, Amhurst Park and Eade Road Linear Local view	532679	187886
24	View along Inderwick Road Townscape Local view	530589	188751
25	View along Nelson Road Townscape Local view	530505	188736
26	Queenmore Road, Stapleton Hall Road junction - - - > Seven Sisters and Hale Village Linear Local view	531429	188242
27	Tottenham High Road - - - - > view along the road from Tottenham Green to Apex House Townscape Local view	533712	189376
28	Tottenham High Road - - - - > view along the road from High Cross Monument to Bruce Grove Station Townscape Local view	533777	189569
29	Tottenham High Road - - - - > view along the road from White Hart Lane Stadium to Swells Corner Townscape Local view	533922	191058
30a	Lee Valley - - - - > from roads and foot bridges over railway north and south of Tottenham Hale Panorama Local view	534739	189456
30b	Lee Valley - - - - > from roads and foot bridges over railway north and south of Tottenham Hale Panorama Local view	534739	189456
31a	Lee Valley - - - - > from Chalk Bridge over Lee navigation Panorama Local view	535689	191466
31b	Lee Valley - - - - > from Chalk Bridge over Lee navigation Panorama Local view	535636	191469
32	Lee Valley north- - - - > from Blackhorse Lane (Waltham Forest) Panorama Local view	535284	189299
33a	White Hart Lane Stadium - - - - > from Northumberland Park Road and unfolding from Down Park Lane Linear and Townscape Local view	534120	191486
33b	White Hart Lane Stadium - - - - > from Northumberland Park Road and unfolding from Down Park Lane Linear and Townscape Local view	534790	191055
33c	White Hart Lane Stadium - - - - > from Northumberland Park Road and unfolding from Down Park Lane Linear and Townscape Local view	534274	191058
34	White Hart Lane Stadium - - - - > from Bruce Castle Park northern side and along Church Lane Linear and Townscape Local view	533428	190975
35	Views across open spaces of Tottenham Cemetery Panorama Local view	533012	191310
36	St Ann's Church - - - - > unfolding from St Ann's Road Townscape Local view	532674	188650
37a	St Ignatius' Church - - - - > from South Tottenham Station along Tottenham High Road Townscape Local view	533624	188555

37b	St Ignatius' Church - - - -> from South Tottenham Station along Tottenham High Road Townscape Local view	533691	188000
-----	--	--------	--------